

9

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The suspension period of Sh. Gulab Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer (CDC), from 21.01.2016 to 31.08.2018 is hereby treated as period spent on duty for all intents and purposes.

**Dated: 02.11.2018**


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2069/2018/1635/31011-20**

**Dated: 2/11/18**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action to:-

1. Shri Gulab Singh, SDE (CDC), HSAMBoard, Jind.
2. The EIC/Chief Engineer-I & II/CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Superintending Engineer/Executive Engineer, HSAMB, Jind.
4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

  
Superintendent (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator.

OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD  
PANCHKULA

ORDER

Shri Rakesh Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Barwala (H) is hereby deputed as such in Market Committee, Hansi for 90 days.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst.No.Admn-V-2018/ 1631) 79892 - 903 Dated: 02/11/18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Shri Rakesh Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Barwala (H).
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA, ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees concerned.
5. PS/PA to Chairperson/Chief Administrator & Secretary Board.
- ✓ 6. The EE (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt.(Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator

4ENIT  
**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

The deployment order of Sh. Deepak Kumar, Accountant, Market Committee, Dharsul to Market Committee, Ellenabad issued vide Endst No. Admn.-VI-2018/148/71879-94 dated 09.10.2018 is hereby cancelled. He is further deputed in Market Committee, Raipur Rani for 90 days.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst.No. Admn-VI-2018/1630/79704-713**

**Dated: 2.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Deepak Kumar, Accountant, Market Committee, Dharsul.
2. The CMEO/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned
4. The Secretary-cum-EO, Market Committee concerned.
5. The PS to CA/ PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**Speed post**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Ajay Rathee, Sub Divisional Engineer was charge sheeted under Rule- 7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No C&E-II/2058/2015/9190 dated 10.02.2016 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

1. That he has got executed the work of premix carpet, tack coat & seal coat without seeking prior permission from the competent authority and violated the instructions issued by the Board vide memo No.4731 dated 11.04.2014.
2. That he has recommended/admitted the payment for 1<sup>st</sup> coat surface dressing to the contractor.
3. That he in connivance with the Executive Engineer/Junior Engineer/Agency allowed sub standard work i.e. patches were developed in premix carpet and berms were on lesser side in level and caused financial to the Market Committee/Board for his personal gains.
4. Thus, he has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & has violated the provision prescribed under Rule 3(1) (i), (ii) & (iii) and 3 (2) (i) & (ii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966.

The charged officer submitted reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 03.03.2016 and denied all the charges stating that no allegation/charge on the basis of facts and circumstances of the case is made out as the work was executed in terms of sanctioned estimate and in accordance with the instructions issued by the Board vide memo dated 11.04.2014. He has stated that no sub standard work was executed and there is no question of obtaining personal gains. He has stated that the deficiencies pointed out by the S.E. were got rectified as the work was in progress. He has admitted that due to closure of mines, some overgauge material was received at site, but the same is covered in the specification by reducing the rates as per the condition of the DNIT. He has stated that a joint representation dated 28.09.2015 was submitted stating that the work was got executed as per revised technically sanctioned estimate by the competent authority. The allegations of admitting the payments for 1<sup>st</sup> coat surface dressing only trumped allegations as he informed to the XEN through SMS on mobile about the execution of the completion of 1<sup>st</sup> coat surface dressing and further it was required to XEN to have sent his directions. The XEN has confirmed that the work of 1<sup>st</sup> coat surface dressing was completed and he had checked the work and found satisfactory, then the work of premix carpet taken up. He has stated that development of patches in the premix carpet and the same had come up since the road remained open for traffic during its execution. Since the harvesting was in progress, the farmers dragged their harrow, tipper and others equipments hanged on their tractors to their fields, due to which some



- 2 -

defects occurred. He has stated that while premix carpet, the sprinkles sets spread water on the fresh surface which also caused damage. He advised to farmers through the Sarpanch to stop interference and since the work is in progress, but to no avail.

He has stated that the SDE (QC) visited the site on 29.01.2015 in his presence and agency. 12 Nos. samples were taken out of which four samples each of GSB-III, stone metal and premix carpet with seal coat drawn, whereas the grading of GSB-III found over gauge ranging from 8.32 to 11.20% overall average of 9.39% and the rates of the over gauge material were reduced to 10% of the basic quarry rate after discussion and approval of the XEN/Superintending Engineer and requested to drop the charges.

The reply of the charged officer was considered by the competent authority and the enquiry was entrusted to Sh. R.P. Bhasin Distt. & Sessions Judge (Retd.) vide order dated 06.04.2016, who submitted his enquiry report vide memo dated 05.09.2016, wherein he has proved all the charges leveled against him.

The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and a copy of the enquiry report was sent to the delinquent officer vide memo dated 10.10.2016 with the directions to submit his objections/reply within 30 days.

The charged officer did not submit objections/reply within prescribed time limit and the matter was placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 25.11.2018 vide agenda item no.14 for collective decision alongwith JE/XEN and head Clerk concerned. The case was considered and decided by the Administrative Committee as under:-

"S/Sh. Ajay Rathi, SDE and Sh. Kuldeep Singh, JE were also heard by the committee. They pleaded that the carpeting was done after obtaining the prior permission from the competent authority and no loss was caused to the public exchequer; the report of the sample was also found in order; and they further pleaded that due to activities of farmers and movement of traffic, the surface looked old/worne. The committee does not agree fully with their pleadings and found that they have been responsible for violating the instructions dated 11.04.2014 and failed to obtain prior permission through SMS as provided under the said instructions. However, taking a lenient view, the committee decided to award them a punishment of Censure."

He is informed accordingly.




**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

-3-

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2058/2018/1638/81241-52 Dated: 5.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Sh. Ajay Rathee, Sub Divisional Engineer, HSAMBoard, Yamuna-Nagar.
- 2 The EIC/C.E-I & II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- 3 The Zonal Administrator/Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard, concerned.
- ✓ 4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

  
**Supdt. (C&E),  
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD PANCHKULA  
ORDER**

The Financial Assistance in favour of Smt. Lali Devi W/o late Sh. Om Parkash, Peon-cum-Chowkidar, O/o Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Sirsa as per provisions of 7<sup>th</sup> pay commission is revised as under:-

<b>Basic Pay + Grade Pay</b>	<b>Multiplied by</b>	<b>Revised Financial Assistance</b>
Rs.7850+1650 GP	*2.57	Rs.24,800/- DL, Cell-14, w.e.f.01.01.2016

The above pay fixation has been verified by the Accounts Branch.

**JAIDEEP KUMAR  
SECRETARY**


**Endst. No. Admn.-VII-2018/ 1635/81211-14**      **Dated: 5.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Smt. Lali Devi W/o late Sh. Om Parkash, Peon-cum-Chowkidar, R/o V.P.O. Badopal, Tehsil & District Fatehabad.

**DA:-Service Book,** 2. The Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Sirsa.

3. The C.F.A., HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.)**  
for **Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Consequent upon promotion to the post of Circle Head Draftsmen and Head Draftsmen, posting of following officials is ordered as under:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name &amp; Designation S/Sh.</b>	<b>Present place of posting</b>	<b>Place of posting</b>
1.	Om Parkash, CHD	Kaithal Division	SE Office, Karnal
2.	Ashok Kumar, HDM	Jind Division	Kaithal Division

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/1645/81946-57 Dated: 6.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officials.
2. The EIC/C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard concerned.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Karnal/Kaithal.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson, C.A and PA to Secretary Board.

  
Supdt. (Admn.),  
For Chief Administrator.

**Speed Post**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA  
ORDER**

Sh. Pardeep Khana, Executive Engineer was charge sheeted under rule-2.2 (b) of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Vol. II. read with Rule 7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No C&E-II/1883/2016/25058 dated 11.04.2016 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

1. That he has failed to get the work executed as per DNIT/Allotment and repaired another road with I.D.-3021 in a length of 1.16 Km related to PWD (B&R) Department at that time) in place of 2<sup>nd</sup> part of approved road I.D. No. 3005, for which allotment was made and has not still been repaired.
2. That he has released payment of Rs. 10,07,835/- wrongly for the special repair of road I.D.-3021 which was not approved for repair and was transferred to PWD B&R at that time and hence caused a financial loss to the Market Committee / Board.
3. That he has released excess payment to the agency for Rs.4,432/- for 67.50 cum stone metal less used in 1<sup>st</sup> part of road I.D.-3005.
4. Thus, he has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & has violated the provisions laid down under Rule 3 (III) (iv) & (xii) of Work Procedure of the Board & Haryana PWD Code, para No. 6.5.19 & 20.5.1 and PWD Manual of Orders para No.5.4 (I) (a) and Rule 3(1) (i), (ii), (iii) & 3 (2) (ii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966.

The charged officer submitted reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 04.05.2016 and denied all the charges leveled against him.

The reply of the charged officer was considered by the competent authority and the enquiry was entrusted to Sh. R.R. Banswal, IAS (Retd.) vide order dated 06.06.2016, who has submitted enquiry report vide memo dated 29.11.2016, wherein he has proved lack of supervision and lack of sincerity against him. The Inquiry Officer has stated that there is no record on file to prove that the officer executed the said works with any personal gains.

The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and a copy of the enquiry report was sent to the delinquent officer vide memo dated 12.04.2017 with the directions to submit his objections/reply within 30 days. The charged officer submitted his objections/reply vide letter dated 08.05.2017 stating that the enquiry officer has not quoted any legal evidence/document to arrive at his conclusion to prove the charges against the charged officer, thus the IO's report is totally based on assumptions and suspicion because he was not well conversant with the technical matters and agreement clause executed with the contractor to execute/ complete the work. The enquiry report is not based on documentary evidence and witnesses examined in this case.

- 2 -

He has also stated that the IO has proved the charge of lack of supervision and sincerity without any legal evidence/document on record as during the cross examination, the prosecution witness no.1 admitted at enquiry report page-01 in para no.3 that the SE concerned is competent to recommend any action against defaulting officials/officer and as per B&R Manual of Orders, JE and SDE are responsible to give the Nishans and verify the same before the start of work. The IO has admitted that the JE is responsible for recording of measurement of the work executed at site in the measurement book. As prosecution witness no.2 during cross examination admitted at enquiry page-02 in para-04 that the R/C/Estimate of the said work was not prepared during his tenure and repaired road (ID-3021) was constructed by the Board and not by the PWD B&R as alleged. He has also admitted that the road was transferred to PWD B&R during the tenure of Satish Aggarwal, XEN on 18.05.2010. He has stated that he checked 06 no. roads out of 08 no. roads. He has also stated that neither the R/C/Estimate was prepared nor it was transferred to PWD for its repair during his tenure. He also stated that it was surprising how lack of supervision and sincerity is proved by the enquiry officer. He has stated that 06 no. roads were inspected by him out of 08 nos. falling in the said group to be repaired. Thus, 76% work was checked against requirement of 05% as per duties assigned under PWD Code-6.5.19. No charge has been proved with documentary evidence, rather charges are itself against listed document no.06 duly produced by the administrative staff with the charge sheet and admitted by the prosecution witness no. 1 & 2 during cross examination. There was no loss to recover from him, but it was deliberately incorporated in the charge sheet to harass him. He has also stated that in term of Govt. instructions bearing no. C.S. Hr. No. 62150/2001-6 GSI dated 19.12.2001, no charge sheet is to be issued to the retiree if no recovery is to be effected. He has also requested to file the charge sheet as no loss is to be recovered from him.

The objections submitted by the charged officer were placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 26.10.2018 vide agenda item No.02. The case was considered and decided by the Administrative Committee as under:-

"The Committee confirmed the orders of dated 06.06.2016 of Chief Administrator of appointment of I.O./P.O.. Sh. Pardeep Khanna, Executive Engineer (Retd.) was heard in person. He repeated the same version as he stated in the reply of charge sheet/objections. After hearing and considering all the facts/record, the Committee observed that unapproved road ID 3021 was got repaired instead of road ID3005 and payment of Rs.10,07,835/- was released by him. Due to the negligence of concerned XEN/SDE/JE, the board has suffered a loss of Rs.10,07,835/-. In view of these facts, the Committee came to the conclusion that all the charges are proved against him beyond doubt

and he is responsible for causing said loss. The Committee further observed that the case of Sh. Mahavir Singh, SDE (Retd.) has already been decided by the Chief Administrator Board by awarding punishment of censure besides recovery 20% of loss. Thus, the committee decided to award a punishment of "Censure besides recovery of 10% of total loss of Rs.10,07,835/-."

He is informed accordingly.

**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**Chief Administrator**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-1883/2018/ 1653/82047-58 Dated:- 8.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Sh. Pardeep Khanna, XEN (Retd.) # 236, Sector-18, HUDA, Jagadhri.
- 2 The EIC/C.E-I & II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- 3 The Zonal Administrator/Superintending Engineer/XEN, HSAMBoard, concerned. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
- ✓ 4 The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 5 The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
- 6 The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

**Supdt. (C&E),**  
**for Chief Administrator.**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.

ORDER

Shri Ved Pal, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Bhuna is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Kharkhoda, Distt. Sonapat on administrative grounds.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn.VI-2018/1652) 82030-46 Dated: 8/11/18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action: -

1. Sh. Ved Pal, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Bhuna.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMB, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman/Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Bhuna/Kharkhoda.
5. The EE(IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS/PA to Chairperson/CA/Secretary Board.

  
Admn. Officer-II,  
For Chief Administrator

SPEED POST

OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA

ORDER

An inspection of Mandi/Market Committee, Barwala (H) was carried out by the Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Gurgaon and evasion of market fee was detected to the tune of Rs.72,647/- on account of market fee, penalty and HRDF. Sh. Rajender Singh, Assistant Secretary, Market Committee, Barwala (H) was charge sheeted under rule-7 vide memo no. C&E-I-3093/2014/19680 dated 03.03.2014. The following charges were leveled against him:-

1. *That the inspecting team headed by Zonal Administrator, Gurgaon while inspecting the Mandi, detected evasion of market fee and recovered Rs.72,647/- on account of market fee, penalty and HRDF. If the Mandi was not checked by the inspecting team, the Market Committee would have been put to a pecuniary financial loss.*
2. *That, he has failed to comply with Rule 14 of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets (General) Rules, 1962 and Rule 3(1) (i) (ii) & (iii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966 and has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently.*

After considering his reply vide letter dated 01.04.2014 to the charge sheet, wherein he denied all the charges/allegations leveled against him, a departmental enquiry was entrusted to Sh. D.D. Gautam, IAS (Retd.) vide order dated 11.04.2014, who submitted his enquiry report on 18.02.2016 and did not prove the charges against the delinquent officer. Disagreeing with the enquiry report, the delinquent was served a dissenting note alongwith memorandum and enquiry report vide memo No.C&E-I-2016/3093/20984 dated 22.03.2016 to submit his representation within 30 days.

Though he claimed that he has submitted the written reply to the dissent note but same has not been received in the office. On the receipt of his representation dated 04.10.2018, he was afforded an opportunity of personal hearing on 29.10.2018. During hearing, Sh.Rajender Singh, Assistant Secretary repeated the same version as stated in the reply to the charge sheet.

After going through all the facts/evidence on the record and hearing arguments of the delinquent, I have come to the conclusion that no Secretary-cum-E.O. was posted in the Market Committee, Barwala (H) and on the direction of Sh.Shailish Verma, DMFO, H.S.A.M.Board, Hisar, he looked after

work of Market Committee, Barwala (H) ad Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Gurugram has also pointed out in his report that Sh.Rajender Singh, Assistant Secretary, Market Committee, Barwala (H) fully helped him in checking P.V. and recovery of market fee from M/s. S.A. Traders and Ekta Industries. Though, the market fee of Rs.72,647/- has been deposited in the Market Committee, Barwala (H) by the inspecting team on the spot on 22.03.2012 but the detection of market fee and HRDF by the inspecting team is itself evidence in support of the doubt that he (Incharge/Assistant Secretary) has no control over his staff and has failed to watch interests of the Market Committee, Board. However, taking a lenient view, charge sheet/case is filed as no financial loss is found and he is advised to be careful in future while performing his official duties.

Be communicated.


Dated:29.10.2018

  
HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. C&E-I-3093/2018/1666/82500-511 Dated: 12.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for compliance and to make necessary entries of the order in record/service book of the officers, if any:-

1. Sh. Rajinder Singh, ASMC, O/o Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Hisar.
2. The CMEO, C.F.A, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
3. The MDO, Ad.O-I &II, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintendent Admn./A-6, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
5. The PS to Chairperson, C.A. and Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt.(C&E),  
for Chief Administrator.

SPEED POST

OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA

ORDER

An inspection of Mandi/Market Committee, Barwala (H) was carried out by the Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Gurgaon and evasion of market fee was detected to the tune of Rs.72,647/- on account of market fee, penalty and HRDF. Sh. Shailesh Verma, District Marketing Enforcement Officer, H.S.A.M.Board, Hisar was charge sheeted under rule-7 vide memo no. C&E-I-3093/2014-19679 dated 03.03.2014. The following charges were leveled against him:-

1. *That the inspecting team headed by Zonal Administrator, Gurgaon, while inspecting the mandi, detected the evasion of market fee and recovered Rs.72,647/- on account of market fee, penalty and HRDF. If the mandi was not checked by the inspecting team, the Market Committee would have been put to a pecuniary financial loss.*
2. *That he has acted beyond his competence in ordering Assistant Secretary to look after the work of Market Committee, Barwala (H) without prior approval of the competent authority.*
3. *That he has failed to comply with Rule 14 of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets (General) Rules, 1962 and Rule 3(1) (i) (ii) & (iii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966 and has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently.*

After considering his reply vide letter dated 24.03.2014 to the charge sheet wherein he denied all the charges/allegations leveled against him, a departmental enquiry was entrusted to Sh. D.D. Gautam, IAS (Retd.) vide order dated 11.04.2014, who submitted his enquiry report on 18.02.2016 and did not prove the charges against the delinquent officer. Disagreeing with the enquiry report, the delinquent was served a dissenting note alongwith memorandum and enquiry report vide memo No.C&E-I-2016/3093/20983 dated 22.03.2016 to submit his representation within 30 days.

The delinquent officer submitted his reply to the dissenting note vide letter dated 19.05.2016 wherein he denied all the charges/allegations stating that the report of Inquiry Officer has been rejected without finding out any fault in the conclusions recorded by the Inquiry Officer. The witness produced on behalf of the Board had just placed on record the report of Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Gurgaon and not pointed out any kind of negligence on the part of the delinquent. In the dissenting note an impression has been created that he had connived with supporting staff in facilitating evasion of market fee. It had not been attributed in the charge sheet or statement of allegations that he had connived with supporting staff. He had been entrusted the duty for acting as Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Barwala (H) on 06.04.2012. The inspection of Market Committee,

Barwala (H) was conducted on 22.03.2012 by the Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Gurgaon and he was not Secretary-cum-E.O., Barwala (H) at that time, so he could not blame for any kind of deficiency. Sh. Ombir Singh, Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Barwala (H) had been transferred to O/o Zonal Administrator, H.S.A.M.Board, Hisar and no Secretary-cum-E.O. was posted in Market Committee, Barwala (H) was posted. He had written the letter to Sh.Rajender Singh, Assistant Secretary on 20.03.2012. On the contrary, he had written such letter in the large interest of the Board and Market Committee for advising the Assistant Secretary to be more cautions for the work of enforcement and providing of basic amenities for the farmers so that no complaint is received from the farmers. The copy of said letter must have been received in the H.Q./ZA/ZMEO on or before 23.03.2012. The Inquiry Officer discussed the letter so written by him in his findings and had observed in the report that he had no ulterior motive and issued the letter for the convenience of the farmers and in the interest of the Board.

Accordingly, he was afforded an opportunity of personal hearing on 29.10.2018. During hearing, Sh.Shailesh Verma, DMEO repeated the same version as stated in the reply to the charge sheet as well as dissenting note.

After going through all the facts/evidence on the record and hearing arguments of the delinquent, I have come to the conclusion that no Secretary-cum-E.O. was posted in the Market Committee, Barwala (H). He had written the letter to Sh.Rajender Singh, Assistant Secretary on 20.03.2012 in the interest of the Board and Market Committee for advising the Assistant Secretary to be more cautions for the work of enforcement and providing of basic amenities for the farmers. Though, the market fee of Rs.72,647/- has been deposited in the Market Committee, Barwala (H) by the inspecting team on the spot on 22.03.2012 but the detection of market fee and HRDF by the inspecting team is itself evidence in support of the doubt that he has no control over his staff and has failed to watch interests of the Market Committee, Board. However, taking a lenient view, charge sheet/case is filed as no financial loss is found and he is advised to be careful in future while performing his official duties.

Be communicated.


Dated:29.10.2018

  
**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. C&E-I-3093/2018/1665/ 82488-99 Dated: 12.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for compliance and to make necessary entries of the order in record/service book of the officer, if any:-

1. Sh. Shailesh Verma, DMEO, H.S.A.M.Board, Hisar.
2. The CMEO, C.F.A, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
3. The MDO, Ad.O-I &II, H.S.A.M.Board. Panchkula.
4. The Superintendent Admn./A-6. H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
5. The PS to Chairperson, C.A. and Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT). H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt.(C&E),  
for Chief Administrator.

OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA

ORDER

Sh. Rajbir Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Uchana was placed under suspension vide office order memo No.C&E-III-2016/1245/157/6933-43 dated 02.02.2016 and reinstated on 11.03.2016. He was charge sheeted under rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No.C&E-III-1245/2016/16521-A dated 14.03.2016 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

1. *That Sh. Ved Parkash Dafri, Market Committee Uchana had made a complaint on dated 25.5.2015 to Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board alleging evasion of market fee on cotton due to non-entry of arrivals of agri-produce in prescribed register 'H' which was your bounded duty.*
2. *That he did not record the arrivals in the Mandi causing evasion of Market fee and have not performed your duty properly. The non recording of arrivals and evasion of Market fee has been pointed out in the complaint dated 25.5.2015 made by Sh. Ved Parkash, Daftri of Market Committee, Uchana.*
3. *During the checking of Uchana Mandi by the SMC (HQ) on dated 26.12.2015 when he was entrusted duty to issue gate passes and recording of Arrivals, it was noticed that he has not issued the Gate pass at the time of entry of arrival in the Mandi and also not entered the arrivals of Cotton and Paddy in the 'H' Register of Market Committee immediately after unloading on the platform. On enquiry he told that the entries will be made at the time of auction, which is in violation of the instructions issued by the Board, time to time, latest instruction issued vide Circular No. 270 dated 29.12.2014.*
4. *That he has violated the provision of Government Employee (Conduct) Rules, 1966 and failed to perform your duty honestly, sincerely and diligently.*
5. *The above acts of omission and commission constitute a grave misconduct & dereliction of duties on his part, rendering him liable for severe disciplinary action."*

He submitted his reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 04.04.2016 and 09.05.2016 respectively. The reply of the delinquent official has been considered by the competent authority and a regular departmental enquiry was entrusted to Sh. V.P. Batra, IAS (Retd) vide order dated 14.06.2016, who submitted his enquiry report vide letter dated 17.10.2016, wherein he has partly proved charge No.3 and 4 levelled against him.

The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and a memorandum alongwith enquiry report was referred to the delinquent vide memo dated 30.11.2016 with the direction to submit his objection within 30 days from the date of receipt of this communication. He submitted objections/reply to the memorandum vide letter dated 21.12.2016 denying all the charges levelled against him stating that there was no evidence on record even for party proving the charge to the extent it was stated to have been proved. There was no evidence on record for holding that charge No.4 and 5 were proved. The Inquiry Officer has ignored the evidence which was favourable to the delinquent.

The reply submitted by the delinquent was considered in detail and it was decided that an opportunity of personal hearing is required to be afforded to the delinquent before taking final decision of the case. Accordingly, he was called upon for personal hearing on 31.10.2018 to defend his case.

During hearing, Sh. Rajbir Singh, Auction Recorder, has repeated the same version as he stated in reply to the charge sheet/memorandum. He also submitted his written representation/reply stating that during enquiry proceedings, Sh. Ved Parkash Dafri, Market Committee Uchana stated that the duty of delinquent official was not in mandi.

After going through all the facts/evidence on the record and hearing arguments of the delinquent and enquiry report, I have come to the conclusion that the charge of evasion of Market Fee and non-recording of arrival in mandi are not proved and no financial loss is involved in this case. However, charge of violation of Govt. Employees Conduct Rules, 1966 is partly proved against him. In view of these facts and taking a lenient view, the charge sheet against him is filed and he is warned to be more careful in future.

Be communicated.


**Dated: 31.10.2018**

  
**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. C&E-III-1245/2018/ 1658/ 82366-76 Dated: 12.11.18.

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rajbir Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Uchana.
2. The Z.A, HSAM Board, Karnal/Hisar.
3. The DMEO, HSAM Board, Jind.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Uchana.
5. The Supdt. (Admn.)/A-V, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator and Secretary.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

  
Supdt. (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Bhoop Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Uchana was placed under suspension vide office order memo No.C&E-III-2016/1245/158/6944-54 dated 02.02.2016 and reinstated on 11.03.2016. He was charge sheeted under rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No.C&E-III-1245/2016/16521 dated 14.03.2016 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

1. *That Sh. Ved Parkash Dafri, Market Committee Uchana had made a complaint on dated 25.5.2015 to Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board alleging evasion of market fee on cotton due to non-entry of arrivals of agri-produce in prescribed register 'H' which was your bounded duty.*
2. *That he did not record the arrivals in the Mandi causing evasion of Market fee and had not performed your duty properly. The non recording of arrivals and evasion of Market fee has been pointed out in the complaint dated 25.5.2015 made by Sh. Ved Parkash, Dafri of Market Committee, Uchana.*
3. *During the checking of Uchana Mandi by the SMC (HQ) on dated 26.12.2015 when he was entrusted the duty to issue gate passes and recording of Arrivals, it was noticed that he did not issue the Gate pass at the time of entry of arrival in the Mandi and also not entered the arrivals of Cotton and Paddy in the 'H' Register of Market Committee immediately after unloading on the platform. On enquiry he told that the entries will be made at the time of auction, which is in violation of the instructions issued by the Board, time to time, latest issued vide Circular No. 270 dated 29.12.2014.*
4. *That you have violated the provision of Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966 and failed to perform your duty honestly, sincerely and diligently*
5. *The above acts of omission and commission constitute a grave misconduct & dereliction of duties on his part, rendering him liable for severe disciplinary action."*

He submitted his reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 04.04.2016 and 09.05.2016 respectively. The reply of the delinquent official has been considered by the competent authority and a regular departmental enquiry was entrusted to Sh. V.P. Batra, IAS (Retd) vide order dated 14.06.2016, who submitted his enquiry report vide letter dated 17.10.2016, wherein he has partly proved charge No.3 and 4 levelled against him.



The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and a memorandum alongwith enquiry report was referred to the delinquent vide memo dated 30.11.2016 with the direction to submit his objection within 30 days from the date of receipt of this communication. He submitted objections/reply to the memorandum vide letter dated 21.12.2016 denying all the charges levelled against him stating that there was no evidence on record even for party proving the charge to the extent it was stated to have been proved. There was no evidence on record for holding that charge No.4 and 5 were proved. The Inquiry Officer has ignored the evidence which was favourable to the delinquent.

The reply submitted by the delinquent was considered in detail and it was decided that an opportunity of personal hearing is required to be afforded to the delinquent before taking final decision of the case. Accordingly, he was called upon for personal hearing on 31.10.2018 to defend his case.

During hearing, Sh. Bhoop Singh, Auction Recorder, has repeated the same version as he stated in reply to the charge sheet/memorandum. He also submitted his written representation/reply stating that during enquiry proceedings, Sh. Ved Parkash Dafri, Market Committee Uchana stated that the duty of delinquent official was not in mandi.

After going through all the facts/evidence on the record and hearing arguments of the delinquent and enquiry report, I have come to the conclusion that the charge of evasion of Market Fee and non-recording of arrival in mandi are not proved and no financial loss is involved in this case. However, charge of violation of Govt. Employees Conduct Rules, 1966 is partly proved against him. In view of these facts and taking a lenient view, the charge sheet against him is filed and he is warned to be more careful in future.

Be communicated.

**Dated:31.10.2018**

  
**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. C&E-III-1245/2018/1659/82377-87 Dated: 12.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Bhoop Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Jind.
2. The Z.A, HSAM Board, Karnal/Hisar.
3. The DMEO, HSAM Board, Jind.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Jind.
5. The Supdt. (Admn.)/A-V, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator and Secretary.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

Supdt. (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, Panchkula**

**ORDER**

The suspension period of Kuldeep Singh Dahiya, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard from 06.12.2011 to 04.05.2012 is hereby treated as leave of kind due.

**Dated: 08.11.2018**

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2008/2018/1663/82457-66 Dated: 12.11.18**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Shri Kuldeep Dahiya, J.E. (Retd.) # 140/29, Vikash Nagar, Gali No.2, Near Kakroi Road, Sonapat. M. No.9896141819.
- 2 The EIC/Chief Engineer-I &II/CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 3 The S.E./Executive Engineer, HSAMB, Gurugram/Rewari.
- 4 The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

  
Superintendent (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, Panchkula**

**ORDER**

The suspension period of Balbir Singh Dahiya, Sub Divisional Engineer, HSAMBoard from 06.12.2011 to 04.05.2012 is hereby treated as leave of kind due.

**Dated: 08.11.2018**

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2008/2018/1662/82447-56 Dated: 12.11.18**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Shri Balbir Singh Dahiya, SDE, Retd.), # 385/27 West, Ram Nagar, Sonapat.
- 2 The EIC/Chief Engineer-I &II/CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 3 The S.E./Executive Engineer, HSAMB, Gurugram/Rewari.
- 4 The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 5 The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

  
 Superintendent (C&E)  
 for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The State Govt. from time to time stressed upon regarding economy in use of Staff Cars. It had also been decided by the Govt. that no Officer should do field during of more than 10 days in a month. However, while checking the POL bills it has come to notice that prior approval is invariably not obtained before proceeding on Tour & the ceiling of more 10 days is also not strictly observed. Therefore, in view of economy measures as well as financial discipline, the following guidelines need to be strictly enforced:-

1. While submitting the bills of POL the copy of approval of Tour program by Competent Authority be attached with such claims. In the absence of the same no claim bill will be entertained.
2. The limit of 10 days on tour during a month also applicable on use of Board's vehicle except the local journeys.
3. (I) The Govt. vehicle may only be used by the Officers/Official entitled to the same and that too for official purpose.  
(II) If Board's vehicle is used by the official/officer not entitled to the same without the approval of the Competent Authority the same may specifically be brought to the notice of the Authority & no such bill will be entertained by Division office.
4. No Luxurious accessories like LCD screen, sirens & curtains etc. should be purchased where not entitled.

These orders will take with immediate effects.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADIMINISTRATOR**

Dated: 12.11.18

Endst. No. Mech./2018/ 82162.365

A copy of above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action please.

1. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator, Secretary, EIC, Chief Engineer-I & II, CFA & CMEO H.S.A.M Board, Panchkula.
2. All the Branch In-charge at the Head Quarter.
3. All the SEs & E.Es at H.Q. as well as in the field.
4. All the Z.As, ZMEOs, DMEOs in the State.
5. All the Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees in the State.
6. All the Drivers at H.Q. as well as fields.

  
12.11.18  
Sub Divisional Engineer (Mech.)  
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Anoop Bharti, Accountant, deployed in the O/o Zonal Administrator, HSAM Board, Karnal is hereby assigned the additional charge of Accountant, Market Committee, Karnal in addition to his own duties without any extra remuneration.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/ 1668/ 82 815- 27**

**Dated: 12.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Anoop Bharti, Accountant, O/o Zonal Administrator, HSAM Board, Karnal.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA/PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

*Sut*  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh.Jeet Ram, Ram, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee,  
Adampur is hereby transferred in Market Committee, Punhana.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst No. Admn-II-2018/ <sup>1660</sup> 82390-402, Dated: 12.11.18 .

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Both the above named Officials.
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chiarperson/CA/Secretary Board.
- ✓ 7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkla.

  
**Admn. Officer-II**  
for Chief Administrator

**Speed post**  
**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,**  
**PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

In continuation of office orders issued vide order endst dated 30.10.2018, it is clarified that recovery of the total loss of Rs.4,31,737/- will be recovered from S/Sh. Kuldeep Dahiya, J.E., Balbir Singh Dahiya, S.D.E. and N.K. Bansal, XEN (Retd.) accordingly @ 40:40:20.


CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. C&E-II-1859/2018/1661/82433-446

Dated:- 12.11.18

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Sh. Kuldeep Dahiya, J.E. (Retd.) # 140/29, Vikash Nagar, Gali No.2, Near Kakroi Road, Sonapat. M. No.9896141819.
- 2 Sh. B.S. Dahiya, S.D.E. (Retd.) # 385/27 West, Ram Nagar, Sonapat.
- 3 Sh. N.K. Bansal, XEN (Retd.), #2748, Sector-37C, Chandigarh.
- 4 The EIC/C.E-I & II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- 5 The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 6 The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Sonapat. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
- 7 The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
- 8 The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

  
**Supdt. (C&E),**  
**for Chief Administrator.**

**Speed Post**

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA  
ORDER**

Sh. Pardeep Khanna, Executive Engineer was charge sheeted under rule-2.2 (b) of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Vol. II. read with Rule 7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No C&E-II/2063/2016/55265 dated 26.07.2016 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

- 1 That he allowed to use 1.95 MT less bitumen worth Rs.89,560/- in premix carpet work in 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> running bills & allowed the sub standard work.
- 2 That he released excess payment of 81.58 cum less used WBM material as the thickness of WBM at RDs 1650 to 2410 mtr. was found 29.33mm less than requirement and thus, he caused financial loss of Rs.71,035/- to the Market Committee/Board on this account.
- 3 That he in connivance with the Sub Divisional Engineer/Junior Engineer/Agency released the payment of stone soling & stone metal which was found overgauge by 10% loss on account of which works out to Rs.23,706/- and thus, caused financial loss to the Market Committee/ Board for his personal gains.
- 4 Thus, he has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & has violated the provisions laid down under Rule 3 (III) (iv) & (xii) of the Work Procedure of the Board & Haryana PWD Code. para No. 6.5.19 and 20.5.1 and PWD Manual of Orders, para No.5.4 (I) (a) and Rule 3(1) (i), (ii), (iii) & 3 (2) (ii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1965.

The charged officer submitted reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 19 08.2016 and denied all the charges leveled against him.

The reply of the charged officer was considered by the competent authority and the enquiry was entrusted to Sh. R.P. Bhasin, Distt. & Sessions Judge (Retd.) vide order dated 26.09.2016, who has submitted enquiry report vide memo dated 19.12.2016, wherein he has proved all the charges levelled against him.

The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and a copy of the enquiry report was sent to the delinquent officer vide memo dated 19.04 2017 with the directions to submit his objections/reply within 30 days.

The charged officer submitted his objections/reply vide letter dated 06.05.2017 stating that:-

- 1 The enquiry officer has not quoted any legal evidence/document to arrive at conclusion to prove the charges against him. Thus the enquiry report is totally based on assumptions and suspicion. The measurement book of the concerned work was produced but the same was not examined by the Inquiry Officer because he was not well conversant with the technical matters and agreement clauses executed with the concerned contractor to execute and complete the said work. Thus, the enquiry report is not based on documentary evidence.

- 9 -
- 2063
- 2 The finding of the enquiry officer is not charge-wise as required under the rules. The I.O. has quoted irrelevant story to make the enquiry lengthy to divert the mind of competent authority to arrive at actual conclusion.
  - 3 During the cross examination, prosecution witness no.1 has admitted in answer to question no.1 that the work was executed during the tenure of charged officer between RD 0 to 250 and 800 to 1580. In answer to question no. 2 & 3, he admitted that the loss amount was calculated on the basis of samples taken at RD. 1650, 2190 and 2410. He has also admitted in reply to question no.4 & 5 that the calculated loss amount stands recovered from the contractor as per agreement provisions and there is no loss to the Board. In answer to question no.6, he admitted that 10% over gauge material was acceptable being in permissible limit. He has also stated that the statement of prosecution witnesses no.1 clearly proves that no work was executed during his tenure and the samples were taken at RD 1659, 2190 and 2410. Moreover, he has stated that the charges framed against J.E./S.D.E. stands filed by the competent authority because loss has been recovered from the agency. He has also stated that there is no loss recoverable from the officers/officials. Therefore, in terms of Govt. instructions bearing no. C.S. Hr. no. 62150/2001-6 GSI dated 19.12.2001, no charge sheet is to be issued to the retiree if no recovery is to be effected from him and requested to file the charges leveled against him as loss was not calculated on the basis of work executed during his tenure.

The objections submitted by the charged officer were placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 26.10.2018 vide agenda item No.01. The case was considered and decided by the Administrative Committee as under:-

"The order dated 26.09.2016 of the Chief Administrator for appointment of I.O/P.O were confirmed. S/Sh. Pardeep Khanna & S.K. Tindwal, XENs (Retd.) were heard in person and they repeated the same version as they stated in the reply of charge sheet/objections. After hearing and considering all the facts/record the committee observed that sub-standard work was allowed by them and excess payment of Rs. 1,84,302/- on account of less consumption of bitumen and over gauge material was released by them. All the charges are also proved against them. The committee further observed that recovery of loss of Rs. 1,84,302/- has already been made from the contractual agency and the concerned SDE/JE have already been exonerated in this case and both the XENs have already been retired from service. Thus, the committee decided to exonerate S/Sh. Pardeep Khanna & S.K. Tindwal, XENs (Retd.)."

He is informed accordingly.




**HARDEEP SINGH**  
Chief Administrator

(XEN IT)

Endst. No. C&E-II-2063/2018/1673/32981-92, Dated:- 13.11.18<sup>2063</sup>

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Sh. Pardeep Khanna, XEN, (Retd.) # 236/18, HUDA, Jagadhri.
- 2 The EIC/C.E-I & II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- 3 The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 4 The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
- 5 The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary

  
Supdt. (C&E),  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh.Kirpa Ram, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Fatehabad is hereby deputed in Market Committee, Adampur on humanitarian grounds for two months.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst No.Admn-II-2018/1683/85119-34 Dated: 13-11-18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Both the above named Officials.
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chairperson/CA/Secretary Board.
7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkla.

*Sute*  
**Admn.Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The suspension period of Sh. Rajinder Singh, Driver, O/o DMEO, HSAMBoard, Narnaunl from 30.12.2015 to 30.03.2018 is treated as leave of kind due.


**Dated:-13.11.2018**

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2079/2018/ 1670/82837 - 52 Dated: 13.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rajinder Singh, Driver, O/o DMEO, HSAMBoard, Narnaul.
2. The C.F.A., CMEO, MDO, Ad.O-II, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A., ZMEO, S.E., H.S.A.M.Board concerned.
4. The DMEO, HSAMBoard, Narnaul.
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rewari/Jhajjar.
6. The Deputy Superintendent Admn./A-VII, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
7. The PS to Chairperson, C.A. and Secretary Board.
8. The E.E. (IT), H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt. (C&E),  
for Chief Administrator.**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA

ORDER


Sh. Dalbir Singh, Leading Fireman, Market Committee,  
Adampur is hereby transferred and posted as such in Market Committee,  
Uchana.

**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-VII-2018/ <sup>1679</sup>~~83064-71~~ Dated: 13/11/18.

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and  
necessary action:-

1. Sh. Dalbir Singh, Leading Fireman, O/o Market Committee,  
Adampur.
2. The Superintendent (Fire) O/o Urban Local Bodies-cum-Fire  
Service, Haryana, Bays No.11-14, Sector-4, Panchkula with  
reference to his Memo No.DGFS/FA-III/2018/83699 dated  
09.08.2018.
3. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Adampur &  
Uchana.
4. The DMEO, HSAM Board concerned.
5. The PS/Chairperson, CA, and PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M.  
Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt.(Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The deployment order of Sh.Vijay Kumar, Auction Recorder from MC, Nissing to Market Committee, Karnal issued vide this office order Endst. No. Admn.-VI-2018/1408/69466-512 dated 02.10.2018 is hereby cancelled.

Further, Sh.Ram Parsad, Auction Recorder, Market Committee Nissing is hereby deployed in Market Committee Karnal for 90 days.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst.No.Admn-V-2018/1682/83087-99

Dated: 13-11-2018

A copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the following:-

1. S/Sh. Vijay Kumar, Auction Recorder, Market Committee Karnal and Ram Parsad, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Nissing.
2. The CFA /CMEO/MDO, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M Board concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.Os., Market Committees concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/C.A. & PA to Secretary Board.
6. The E.E.(IT) HSAM Board Panchkula.

  
**Supdt.(Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Ajay Hooda, ABM is hereby posted in Administration Branch as Deputy Superintendant against Smt. Anu Gupta, Deputy Superintendant. Sh. Puneet Nagpal, ABM will look after the work of Sh. Ajay Hooda, ABM in addition to his present duties without any extra remuneration.

Smt. Anu Gupta, Deputy Superintendant is posted in the office of Superintending Engineer, Panchkula.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn-VI-2018/1685) 83136-48 Dated:- 13-11-2018  
A

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. All the above named officials.
2. The CMEO/CFA/ MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Superintending Engineer/ Executive Engineer, HSAMB, Panchkula.
4. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator and Secretary.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT) HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt. Admn.  
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Sunder Singh, Secretary-cum-EO., Market Committee, Indri shall look after the work of Secretary-cum-EO., Market Committee, Karnal during the leave period of Sh. Surinder Singh, Assistant Secretary as incharge w. e. f. 18.10.2018 to 17.11.2018 in addition to his own duty without any extra remuneration.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/ 1680/ 82150-63**

**Dated: 13.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named Officer/official.
2. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
3. The CFA /CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA/PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator.**

## MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA

### ORDER

Sh. Pardeep Kumar, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard, Jhajjar deployed at Jind is hereby transferred and posted as such at Bahadurgarh (Jhajjar Division).

Sh. Vijay Pal Singh, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard, Karnal Division is hereby transferred and posted at Panipat Division (Vigilance & Quality Control).

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn-III-2018/ 1688/ 83101-14 Dated: 13.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Both the above named officers.
2. The EIC/Chief Engineer-I & II, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The C.F.A., HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard concerned .
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Karnal/Jhajjar/Jind/Panipat
6. The XEN (I.T.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
Supdt. (Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PABCHKULA**

**ORDER**


Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Fireman, Market Committee, Narwana shall stand Voluntary retired from the services of the Board w.e.f. 31.12.2018. These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter and as a result of the enquiries pending against him, if any.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-VII-2018/1688/83212-18 Dated: 14.11.18.**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Fireman, Market Committee, Narwana.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Narwana. He is requested to submit Pension Papers immediately.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The PS to Chairperson/CA and PA to Secretary Board.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

After consideration of reply to the charge sheet & enquiry report submitted by the Inquiry Officer, the charge sheet under Rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rule-1987 issued to Sh. Naresh Gautam, Junior Engineer (Elect.) issued vide Memo No. C&E-II-2101/2017/36641 dated 30.05.2017 is hereby filed. However, he is warned to be more careful in future.


**Dated:-31.10.2018**


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2101/2018/1687/83200-211**      **Dated:- 14.11.18**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action please:-

1. Sh. Naresh Gautam, Junior Engineer (Elect.), HSAMBoard, Karnal.
2. The C.E-I&II/ CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The S.E./ Zonal Administrator, HSAMBoard, Karnal.
4. The Supdt. Admn/ A-3, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS to C.A and P.A. to Secretary, Board.

  
**Supdt. (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator**



**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

After consideration of reply to the charge sheet & comments offered by the CFA, HSAMBoard, the charge sheet under Rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 2016 issued to Sh. Dalsher Divisional Accountant vide Memo No. C&E-II-2109/2018/60427 dated 05.09.2018 is hereby filed. However, he is warned to be more careful in future.


**Dated:-08.11.2018**

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-2109/2018/1690/83645-56**      **Dated:- 14.11.18**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action please:-

1. Sh. Dalsher Divisional Accountant, HSAMBoard, Bhiwani.
2. The C.E-I &II/ CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The S.E./ Zonal Administrator, HSAMBoard, Rohtak/Hisar.
4. The Supdt. Admn/ A-4, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS to C.A and P.A. to Secretary, Board.

  
**Supdt. (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

In compliance of the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court dated 15.10.2018 and 5.11.2018 in CWP No. 26603 of 2018 & CWP No. 28549 of 2018, the deployment order in r/o Sh. Narender Yadav, Secretary-cum-EO, issued vide endst. No. Admn.-VI-2018/1473/71999-72025 dated 09.10.2018 is hereby directed to join at his previous place of posting.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/ 1692/83701-13 Dated: 15-11-18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Narender Yadav, Secretary-cum-EO, Market Committee, Hisar.
2. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
3. The CFA /CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA/PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

In compliance of the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court dated 15.10.2018 and 5.11.2018 in CWP No. 26603 of 2018 & CWP No. 28549 of 2018, the deployment order in r/o Sh. Narender Yadav, Secretary-cum-EO, issued vide endst. No. Admn.-VI-2018/1473/71999-72025 dated 09.10.2018 is hereby directed to join at his previous place of posting.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/ 1692/83701-13 Dated: 15-11-18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Narender Yadav, Secretary-cum-EO, Market Committee, Hisar.
2. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
3. The CFA /CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA/PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

In partial modification of this office order issued vide Endst. No Admn.-IV-2018/1584/76511-570 dated 26.10.2018, the posting order of Sh. Ravinder Kumar, SDC may be read as in the O/o XEN, HSAM Board Jhajjar instead of Rewari Division.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-IV-2018/1699/84221-33**

**Dated :16.11.2018**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Ravinder Kumar, SDC, O/o XEN, HSAM Board, Rewari .
2. The EIC, C.E-I/II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The S.E/XEN, HSAM Board concerned.
- ✓ 5. The XEN, I.T, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS/PA to Chairpersion, C.A, Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt. (Admn)  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The posting orders of Shri Hem Raj, Mandi Supervisor from Market Committee, Yamuna Nagar to M.C. Bilaspur issued vide office order Endst.No.Admn-II-2018/143/70423-505 dated 04.10.2018 is hereby cancelled.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst No.Admn-II-2018/ 1696/84160-74 Dated: 16.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. The above named officials
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chiarperson/CA/Secretary Board.
- ✓ 7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkla.

  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

OFFICE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA

ORDER

The following Auction Recorders are hereby promoted to the post of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee-Collector in the Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800+3600GP(Revised FPL-06 as per 7<sup>th</sup> pay commission) w.e.f 31.08.2018:-

Sr. No.	Name S/ Shri/Smt.	Place of posting
1.	Bimla Devi W/o Brish Bhan	Market Committee ,Uchana
2.	Rakesh Kumar S/o Tota Ram	Market Committee,Narnaual

The above promotions are subject to the following conditions:-

1. They shall be on probation for a period of one year and their promotion is purely on temporary basis. They can be reverted at any time without any notice or cause stated.
2. Their promotion will be without prejudice to the seniority of their seniors, if any, comes into the notice later on.
3. Their promotion shall stand withdrawn automatically, if any adverse remarks in the ACRs mentioned against their names are found at any stage.
4. These orders are subject to the outcome of CWP No.6300 of 2009-Parveen Kumar Vs State of Haryana and others, CWP No.21860 of 2010-Madan Lal Vs State of Haryana & others, CWP No.739 of 2011 – Faquir Chand & others Vs State of Haryana & others and CWP No.19985 of 2011 – Karan Singh & others Vs State of Haryana & others pending in the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh.
5. These orders are subject to out come of the CWP No. 11073 of 2015 titled as Dinesh Kumar Sharma & others V/s State of Haryana.
6. Their posting orders will be issued later on.


**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn-V-2018/ 1694/ 84098-116

Dated: 16/11/18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

- 1 All the above named officials.
2. The CFA/CMEO/DMEO/MDO, H.S.A.M.Board Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
4. All the Adminisrators/Chairman/Secretary-cum-E.O. Market Committee in the state.
5. PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator & PA to Secretary Board.
- 6 The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

  
Dy. Supdt.(Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**


As per decision taken by the Administrative Committee vide agenda item No.13, in its meeting held on 26.10.2018, Sh. Rajesh Kakkar, Executive Engineer is hereby granted 2<sup>nd</sup> ACP scale of Rs. 15600-39100+7600 GP w.e.f. 01.01.2016 after completion of 11 years' regular satisfactory service as SDE/ Executive Engineer, subject to clarification regarding grant of ACP/ promotion during pendency of departmental proceedings under rule-8 from the Govt., already sought. In case any contrary clarification is received from the Govt., then these orders shall stand automatically withdrawn.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/ 1700/84234-73 Dated: 16-11-18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rajesh Kakkar, Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Gurugram.
2. The EIC/C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. All the Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in the State.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt.(Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD**  
**PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

1. The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court has disposed of the CWP No.15219 of 2017 titled as Ramphal & others v/s State of Haryana & others vide order dated 24.07.2017. The order passed by the Hon'ble High Court is reproduced as under:-

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)**

*"The petitioners in this case are seeking the grant of the 3rd ACP scale after completion of 24 years of regular continuing service (as contended). In respect of their grievance the petitioners are stated to have made a representation dated 27.10.2016 (Annexure P3), which, it is contended, has not been decided so far.*

*Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the petitioners' claim, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to dispose of the representation (Annexure P3) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.*

*If the said representation has already been rejected by a detailed speaking order, then a short order only need be passed in this case, annexing therewith the speaking order passed earlier."*

2. That the petitioners vide their representation (Annexure P-3) has requested for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> ACP after completion of 24 years regular service in view of Haryana Civil Service (Assured Career Progression) Rules, 2014. The general conditions of eligibilities of ACP pay structure of Rules, 2008 are as under:-

- (a) After completing the respective prescribed period for eligibility for the grant of ACP pay structure the Government servant should be fit to be promoted to the next higher post in the functional hierarchy in this cadre, but could not be functionally promoted due to lack of vacancy in the promotional post in the hierarchy to which he is eligible to be promoted:
- (b) If such promotion involved passing of departmental test or other test etc, such condition should also be fulfilled by such Government servant.

Further, as per the proceeding of the meeting of the Standing Committee on Public Enterprises held on 12.08.2003 & 17.11.2003, and sanction accorded by the Government, the post of Leading Fireman and Fireman has been placed under diminishing cadre in HSAM Board, Service Rules, 2008 (Amendment 2009). Due to

that reason, all the 'Firemen' can't avail the benefit of promotion as 'Leading Firemen', irrespective of passing of departmental test, being whole fire staff cadre in diminishing. Simultaneously, they were also not granted ACP scale due to the fact that they did not pass departmental examination.

3. Keeping in view this hardship to them and a typical situation as narrated above, the matter was referred to the Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana vide this office memo No. GA-II-2016/31541 dated 29.4.2016 for relaxation in the criteria under rule 25 of ACP Rules, 2008 for grant of ACP to the Fire Staff. Thereafter, vide this office letter dated 09.04.2018, 07.06.2018 and 26.09.2018, the Government was again requested to grant relaxation in rules. Subsequently, the Government vide letter No.1540-Agri.Sec.(1)-2018/16722 dated 16.11.2018 granted relaxation in the condition of passing of departmental examination for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> ACP to the Fireman. Based on the above facts and the said approval of the Government, 5 petitioners (S/Sh. Ram Phal, Satpal Singh, Jagdish, Khushi Ram and Suresh Kumar) and other similarly situated 7 'Firemen' (S/Sh. Ram Kumar, Purshottam, Ram Niwas, Suresh Chander, Jasmer Singh, Rajesh Kumar, Wazir Singh, Balwan Singh) and 2 'Leading Firemen' namely Sh. Dalbir Singh and Sh. Mewa Singh, are hereby granted the benefit of 3<sup>rd</sup> ACP scale from the respective due dates after completion of 24 years regular satisfactory service.

I order accordingly.

By passing this speaking order, the order dated 24.07.2017 as passed by Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 15219 of 2017 titled as Ramphal & others v/s State of Haryana & others, is complied with.

To be communicated.

Panchkula  
Dated: 19.11.2018


  
(HARDEEP SINGH)  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admin-2018/1710/84825-40

Date: - 19.11.2018

A copy above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-


1. S/Sh. Ram Phal, Fireman Market Committee, Uchana, Sh. Satpal Singh, Fireman Market Committee, Narwana, Sh. Jagdish Market Committee, Uchana, Sh. Khishi Ram, Fireman Market Committee, Tohana and Sh. Suresh Kumar, Fireman Market Committee, Narwana.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana, Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare Department Chandigarh with reference to Memo No. 1540-Agri.Sec.(1)-2018/16722 dated 16.11.2018.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O. Market Committee concerned.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT) HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. PS/PA to Chairperson, CA and Secretary Board.

  
Dy.Suptd(Admn.)  
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**


Shri Raja Ram, Auction Recorder S/o Sh. Gopi Ram, Market Committee, Gohana shall stand retired from the services of the Board with effect from 30.11.2018 on attaining the age of superannuation of 58 years. These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter as a result of the enquiry (ies) against him, if any.

  
**Dy.Supdt.(Admn.)**

**Endst. No.Admn-V-2018/ 1706/ 84412-19**      **Dated: 19.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh.Raja Ram Auction Recorder S/o Sh. Gopi Ram, Market Committee, Gohana.
2. The CMEO/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Gohana. He is requested to submit pension papers immediately.
- ✓ 5. The EE (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.

  
**Dy.Supdt.(Admn.)**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Vinod Kumar, Accountant, Market Committee, Ambala City deployed in Market Committee, Faridabad is hereby transferred & posted as such in Market Committee, Faridabad on regular basis.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/ 1705/84393-405**      **Dated: 19.11.18.**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Vinod Kumar, Accountant, Market Committee, Ambala City.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
5. The PS/PA to Chairperson/CA & Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

The following official jeeps are hereby re-allotted as under:-

Sr. No.	Vehicle No.	From	To	Name of Driver	Remarks
1.	HR-03P-7957	Architect, Pkl	Division Office, Rohtak	Sh. Rajender Singh	Against Hiring vehicle
2.	HR-03Q-5923	SDO (Hort.), Pkl	-do-	Sh. Ashok Kumar	-do-
3.	HR-03P-4901	EIC Pool	Division Office, Panchkula	Sh. Arjun Singh	Against condemned vehicle No. HR-03H-4864
4.	HR-03Q-5927	Mechanical Wing, Pkl	H.Q. Pool	Sh. Naresh Kumar	--
5.	HR-03J-8630	H.Q. Pool	Division Office, Jhajjar	Sh. Sunil Kumar	Against Hiring vehicle

These orders shall take with immediate effect.


  
**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Mech. 2018/ 1701/84308-22,

Dated: 19.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action please.

1. The C.F.A., HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The Architect, HSAMB, Panchkula.
3. The S.D.E (IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.
4. The S.D.E (Hort.), HSAMB, Panchkula.
5. The Division Office, Rohtak, Jhajjar & Panchkula.
6. The P.S to C.A./Secretary/EIC, H.S.A.M Board, Panchkula.
7. The Above named drivers.

  
**Chief Administrator,**  
**HSAM Board,**  
**Panchkula.**

NEW IT

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

✓ Sh.Narender Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee,  
Panchkula is hereby deputed in Market Committee, Uklana for 90  
days only.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst No.Admn-II-2018/ 1703/24369-83 Dated: 19.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary  
action.

1. The above named Official.
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chiarperson/CA/Secretary Board.
7. The EE(IT), HSAM Board, Panchkla.

*Sut*  
Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

The following jeeps of the Market Committees are hereby allowed to share with the following stations.

Sr. No.	Vehicle No.	Name of M.C.	Share with
1.	HR-03J-8960	M.C. Panchkula	DMEO, Panchkula
2.	HR-05AK-3388	M.C. Karnal	DMEO, Karnal
3.	HR-30C-0909	M.C. Hodal	M.C. Hassanpur

This order shall take with immediate effect.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Mech. 2018/ 1709/84679-87

Dated: 19.11.18

A copy of above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action please.

1. The CFA, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 2. The S.D.E (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
3. The DMEO, Panchkula & Karnal.
4. The Market Committee, Panchkula, Karnal, Hassanpur & Hodal.
5. P.A. to C.A./Secretary, H.S.A.M Board, Panchkula.



**Sub Divisional Engineer (Mech.)  
For Chief Administrator**

ORDER

1. Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Hisar charge sheeted under rule-8 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No. C&E-III-2015 /790/68428 dated 17.07.2015 and the following allegations were levelled against him:-

*"That a complaint dated 25.10.2012 against Sh. Umesh Dangi, Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Hisar was received from Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder wherein he has alleged that the Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee has harassed him unnecessarily. The matter was got enquired through the ZMEO, HSAMBoard, Rohtak and on the report of the ZMEO, comments of the Zonal Administrator, HSAMBoard, Hisar were obtained. The Zonal Administrator, HSAMBoard, Hisar has concluded that Sh. Karan Singh did not associate the enquiry proceedings and disobeyed the orders of the higher authority and that the allegations against Sh. Umesh Dangi, Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Hisar, were not proved. As recommended by the Zonal Administrator, HSAMBoard, Hisar, explanation of Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder was called for making false complaint and for not associating in the enquiry proceedings. Instead of submitting any reply to the explanation call dated 12.02.2014, Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder forwarded a copy of his complaint dated 04.12.2013 wherein he had levelled allegations of corruption against Sh. Umesh Dangi, SMC and also made complaint against the ZA & ZMEO who enquired the matter stating that they are giving a cover to the corrupt officer(s). He requested to go through his reply and not to harass a loyal and sincere employee like him and to unearth the unholy nexus of corrupt officers. Therefore, he remained negligent in performance of his official duty and failed to give proper reply to the explanation call, showing offensive and disrespectful attitude towards his senior officers and competent authority which is unbecoming on his part.*

*Thus he has violated the provisions laid down under Rule 3(1) (i), (ii) & (iii) of the Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966. Thus, he has miserably failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently."*



2. Sh. Karan Singh who was charge sheeted under Rule-8 vide memo dated 17.07.2018, has come for personal hearing today on 15.11.2018. He was heard. He submits that he could not submit the reply in time because he wanted to examine the record but he was not allowed. He submitted his copy of requests dated 28.07.2015, 06.07.2018, 05.09.2018. He has also produced a letter of the Chief Secretary dated 28.01.2009 which speaks that ~~for~~ disciplinary proceedings against the Govt. employees should be disposed of expeditiously. He has tendered these documents during the personal hearing, same are received and taken on record. He submits that he never remained disobedient; rather he cannot come to know about the conduction of enquiry by the Zonal Administrator. Therefore, he could not participate and assist the enquiry, he further argues that he might be on leave because of his examination. The Superintendent (C&E) reverts that vide memo dated 28.08.2015 at CP-110, he was asked to inspect the record but he never turned up. The Superintendent (C&E) further submits that all complaints lodged by Sh. Karan Singh against Sh. Umesh Dangi were dealt on file. Thus, his allegation that he has been harassed by the Deptt. is baseless.
3. The delinquent employee, Sh. Karan Singh was heard in detail on 15.11.2018. The report of ZA dated 19.09.2013 is perused wherein there is mention that Sh. Karan Singh was examined on 13.08.2013 by Zonal Administrator. Therefore, the contention of delinquent employee that he was not aware of the enquiry by the Zonal Administrator appears to be false. Moreover, he did not submit the reply of charge sheet till date. Thus, in my opinion, it is a clear cut case of disobedience. Therefore, I pass an order of stoppage of one increment without cumulative effect against the delinquent official and decide the charge sheet dated 17.07.2015 under rule-8 accordingly.

Be communicated.

**Dated:15.11.2018**




**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. C&E-III-790/2018/1709/84443-52 Dated: 19.11.18

A copy of the above is to the following for compliance and to make necessary entries of the order in record/service book of the official:-

1. Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Hisar.
2. The Z.A/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
3. The CMEO/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Hisar.
5. The Supdt. (Admn.)/A-V, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator and Secretary.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt. (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO was charge sheeted under rule-8 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 2016 vide this office memo No.C&E-III-2017/1147/72625 dated 21.11.2017. Following allegations were levelled against him:-

*That a report dated 18.05.2015 was received from the Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Tohana whereby it was reported that Sh. Dalbir Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Tohana was found involved in a scam of misappropriation of paddy worth Rs.12 crores purchased by the Food & Supplies Department, in connivance with the DFSC and M/s. Shivaji Foods, Tohana and that he remained in police custody from 16.05.2015 to 30.05.2015. Accordingly, DMEO, HSAM Board, Fatehabad was directed to frame the draft charge sheet against Sh. Dalbir Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Tohana.*

*However, the DMEO (Sh. Ramesh Godara), HSAM Board, Fatehabad reported vide letter dated 01.07.2015 that the allegations in FIR No. 349 dated 17.12.2014 have been levelled by the police, Thana Sadar Tohana and on the basis of this FIR, it would not be appropriate to issue a charge sheet to the employee of the Board.*

*He (Sh. Ramesh Godara) was again directed to submit the draft charge sheet vide letter dated 20.08.2015. However, he forwarded a copy of the FIR No. 349 dated 17.12.2014 and bail order of Sh. Dalbir Singh passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad on 30.05.2015 but not sent the draft charge sheet as directed. On being directed again vide letter dated 18.09.2015, he sent the draft charge sheet vide letter dated 19.10.2015. Even in the said draft charge sheet also, it has been mentioned that **“Market Committee, Tohana has not suffered any loss in terms of monetary and thus no forgery seems to have been committed by Sh. Dalbir Singh, Auction Recorder of Market Committee, Tohana as alleged in the FIR”** whereas as per the FIR, connivance of Sh. Dalbir Singh, Auction Recorder has been shown with Sh. Harpreet Singh, the Proprietor of M/s. Shivaji Foods, Village Chanderkalan. It shows that he has tried to mislead the authorities for extending undue favour to the delinquent official, which is unbecoming on his part.*

*That Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO has failed to perform his duties honestly, sincerely and diligently and has violated Rule 5 (vi) of the Haryana Civil Services (Govt. Employees' Conduct) Rules, 2016.*

*The above acts of omission and commission constitute a grave misconduct and dereliction of duties on his part, rendering him liable for strict disciplinary action.*

2. The said charge sheet was served upon him in due course and he submitted his reply on 28.02.2018 denying all the allegations levelled against him. He stated that an FIR No.349 dated 17.12.2014 was lodged on the complaint by the AFSSO Tohana against M/s Shree Shivaji Foods Chandad Kalan License No. 181/THN and against the officials of Food and Supply Department for misappropriation of government Rice. In the meantime, Sh. Dalbir Singh, A.R., M.C., Tohana was arrested on 16.05.2015 who was later released on bail on 30.05.2015. In spite of sending the draft charge sheet against Sh. Dalbir Singh, the delinquent employee Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO protected him saying that Sh. Dalbir Singh has caused no loss to the Market Committee, Tohana and deposited the fee as per record. Sh. Ramesh Godara also tried to protect his action of not sending the draft charge sheet simply by saying that issuing of charge sheet against Sh. Dalbir Singh would be wastage of time and energy of the Department. Later, Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO forwarded the draft charge sheet to the competent authority on 19.10.2015
3. The reply of the delinquent employee (Sh. Ramesh Godara) was examined by the office but was not found satisfactory and he was called for personal hearing on 12.11.2018 before passing final order on Rule-8 charge sheet against him. During hearing, Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO has repeated the same version as he stated in reply to the charge sheet and he also stated that his fate be decided based on the merit of his written reply dated 28.02.2018.
4. I have gone through the contents of the file presented before me and had heard Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO in person. The delinquent employee, Sh. Ramesh Godara failed to send the draft charge sheet in time and he avoided the matter knowingly, in spite of directions/reminders from HQ. His time and again narration that Sh. Dalbir Singh is not at fault was not warranted since FIR in the matter had already been lodged and whether he (Sh. Dalbir Singh) was at fault or not, this is to be seen by the court of Law. Sh. Ramesh Godara had this stand, perhaps due to the reason, that in case Sh. Dalbir

Singh is protected, he would automatically go scot free in present departmental disciplinary proceedings. Sh. Ramesh Godara was his supervisor in capacity of DMEO and Sh. Dalbir Singh accused in FIR was one of the subordinates. In his written reply, Sh. Ramesh Godara is also making mention that no loss was caused to the Market Committee, the same is also not acceptable because allegation in the FIR is that due to the connivance of Sh. Dalbir Singh with Arthias and Rice Millers, a loss of Rs. 14 crore has been caused to the Govt. and the same is under adjudication in criminal court.

Based on above discussion, I reach on the conclusion that Sh. Ramesh Godara, DMEO has failed to perform his supervisory duties diligently and sincerely, he is found responsible for disobedience since he failed to send the draft of the charge sheet in time, therefore, I award a punishment of stoppage of two increments without cumulative effect and decide the charge sheet accordingly.

Be communicated.


Dated:-12.11.2018

  
**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. C&E-III-1127/2018/1707/84423-432 Dated: 19.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for compliance and to make necessary entries of the order in record/service book of the officier:-

1. Sh. Ramesh Godara, ZMEO, HSAM Board, Ambala.
2. The Z.A/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
3. The CMEO/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Supdt. (Admn.)/A-VI, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
5. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator and Secretary.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt. (C&E)**  
**for Chief Administrator.**

Speed Post

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Ram Kumar, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Panchkula was placed under suspension vide order dated 16.07.2015 and reinstated on 29.12.2015. He was charge sheeted under rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No.C&E-III-1130/2016/6415 dated 01.02.2016 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

- 1 *That he disobeyed the orders of his immediate controlling officer, i.e. Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Panchkula issued vide memo No. 451-52 dated 03.06.2015.*
- 2 *That he did not hand over the charge of file of court case titled M/s. Vijay Kumar Vs. Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board Panchkula, which was fixed for hearing on 16.07.2015, which created an embarrassing situation for the office.*
- 3 *Thus, he has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & has violated the Rule 3(1) (i), (ii) & (iii) and 3 (2) (i) & (ii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966.*

*The above acts of omission and commission constitute a grave misconduct and dereliction of duties on his part, rendering him liable for severe disciplinary action.*

He submitted his reply to the charge sheet on 19.02.2016 alongwith supplementary reply through Sh. Ashwani Chaudhary, Advocate on 17.02.2016. After considering his reply, a regular departmental enquiry was entrusted to Sh. V.P. Batra, IAS (Retd) vide order dated 31.03.2016. The Enquiry Officer has submitted his findings vide letter dated 23.12.2016 party proving the charges levelled against him. A memorandum alongwith enquiry report was referred to the delinquent on 15.05.2017 to submit his representation within 30 days from the receipt of the communication.

The delinquent official submitted reply to the memorandum vide diary No.7628 dated 05.06.2017 wherein he has denied all the charges levelled against him stating that he was deployed to Market Committee, Barwala on 31.01.2015. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market

Committee, Panchkula vide letter dated 09.02.2015 requested to C.A. Board that since two Auction Recorders namely S/Sh. Ravender Kumar, and Raj Kumar has already been relieved and due to shortage of staff, Sh. Ram Kumar may not be relieved, because he is dealing with the important court cases and also attending other work. But he was relieved without prior orders of HQ, in compliance of order dated 30.01.2015 with the directions to join at Market Committee, Barwala. He was relieved on 29.05.2015 without prior permission/orders of the HQ by the Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Panchkula. Regarding handing over charge he stated that he had no charge and files are dealt with him after taking from Sh. Deepak, Auction Recorder. Moreover, no letter has ever been received to him regarding handing over the charge and facts was well in the knowledge of the Secretary-cum-E.O. and all the documents were framed only to keep him away from the Market Committee, Panchkula.

Regarding charge no.2, he stated that it is wrong and denied in-fact the relevant files was handed over by him personally to the Advocate and he remained present in the Court as per directions of engaged Advocate. A letter from concerned advocate in support of this contention is also enclosed for your ready reference.

He has stated that he sought information from the Secretary-cum-E.O., Barwala and it was found that no letter dated No. 451-52 dated 03.06.2015 has been received upto 26.05.2015 as per receipt register of Market Committee and this fact was admitted during enquiry proceedings. During enquiry proceeding Sh. Ajay Sandhu, the then Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Panchkula admitted that the case titled "Vijay Kumar V/s State of Haryana was fixed for hearing in the court on 16.07.2015 and the files pertaining to court case was handed over by Ram Kumar Auction Recorder on 13.07.2015. There is no financial implication is involved.

The reply submitted by the delinquent was considered in detail and it was decided that an opportunity of personal hearing is required to be afforded to the delinquent.

Accordingly, he was heard on 16.11.2018. During hearing, Sh. Ram Kumar, Auction Recorder has repeated the same version as he stated in reply to the charge sheet.

After hearing the arguments and perusing the relevant record of the case, I have come to the conclusion that the I.O. has proved charge No.1 against him but the charge No. 2 is not proved. It is proved beyond doubt that he is responsible for disobeying the orders of his senior. However, taking a lenient view, he is awarded punishment of 'Censure' and his suspension period from 16.07.2015 to 29.12.2015 is treated as leave of kind due.

Be communicated.

Dated : 16.11.2018

Endst. No. C&E-III-1130/2018/

1708/84433-42.


  
16.11.18  
HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Dated:

19.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Ram Kumar, Auction Recorder, O/o C.E., HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The C.E.-I-II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. (Admn.)/A-V, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator and Secretary.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt. (C&E)  
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Narender Kundu, Assistant Secretary, Market Committee, Kaithal, is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Karnal and Sh. Surender Singh, Assistant Secretary, Market Committee, Karnal is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Taraori.

These orders shall take immediate effect.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/ 1211/ 84843-56

Dated: 20.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officials.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-EO, Market Committee concerned.
6. The PS to Chairperson/CA/PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt. (Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh.Dharambir Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee,  
Mustafabad is hereby deputed in Market Committee, Kunjpura.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst No. Admn-II-2018/1713/85021-34** Dated: 20.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary  
action.

1. The above named Official.
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chiarperson/CA/Secretary Board.
7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkla.

  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

On promotion to the post of Secretary-cum-FO.s the following are hereby posted:-

Sr. No.	Name S/Sh.	From	To
1.	Sunita Devi	O/o The ZA, Gurugram	Market Committee, Sampla
2.	Ram Gopal	Market Committee, Fatehabad	Market Committee, Baas
3.	Satbir Singh	Market Committee, Narwana	Market Committee, Pillukhera
4.	Nakul Yadav	H.Q (Panchkula)	H.Q.(Station will be given later on)


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/1712/84999-85620 Dated: 20.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

- 1) All the above named officers.
- 2) The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
- 3) The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- 4) The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned
- 5) The PS to Chairperson/CA/ PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
- 6) The XEN (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.

  
Admn. Officer- II  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

S/Sh. Ajit Singh, Raj Kumar Garg, Rameshwar, Devender Kumar, Shamsheer Singh, Sube Singh, Maha Singh, Mohinder Singh Sehrawat, Laxman Dass and Satya Narain Kundu, Additional Sub Divisional Engineers/ Junior Engineers are hereby promoted to the post of Sub Divisional Engineer in the pay scale of Rs.9300+34800+5400GP (Pay Matrix Level-9) subject to the claim of their seniors, if any and in case any senior official is exonerated from the charge sheet (s) pending against him, the official(s) promoted against the relevant vacancy(ies)/ person(s) shall be reverted without any notice.

These promotions are further subject to the clarification regarding grant of promotion during pendency of departmental proceedings under rule-8 from the Govt., already sought. In case any adverse opinion is received from the Govt., then the promotion orders of the officials, who are facing charge sheet under rule-8, shall stand automatically withdrawn without any notice.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/1731)85508-553**      **Dated: 22/11/18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. All the above named officers.
2. The EIC, C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. All the Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in the State.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supd.(Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD PABCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Satbir Singh, Peon O/o Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Jind shall stand retired from the services of the Board w.e.f. 30.11.2018 on attaining the superannuation age of 60 years without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter and the result of the enquiries pending against him, if any.

**Endst. No. Admn-VII-2018/** <sup>1725</sup> 85402-408 **JAIDEEP KUMAR**  
**SECRETARY** **Dated:** 22.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Satbir Singh, Peon O/o Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Jind.
2. The Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Jind.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The PS to Chairperson/CA & Secretary Board.
5. ✓ The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

**Dy. Supdt. (Admn.)**  
**for Secretary**

⑤

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Narender Kumar, Tractor Driver, Market Committee, Uchana is hereby transferred and posted as such in Market Committee, Karnal against vacant post.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

1728.  
85457-58

**Endst. No. Admn.-VII-2018/** **Dated: 22.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Narender Kumar, Tractor Driver, Market Committee, Uchana.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Uchana/Karnal.
3. The DMEO, HSAM Board concerned.
4. The PS/Chairperson, CA, and PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
20/11/18  
**Dy. Supdt. (Admn.)**  
for **Chief Administrator**

5

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The deployment order of Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Jeep Driver from O/o DMEO, HSAM Board, Sonapat to O/o DMEO, HSAM Board, Panipat issued vide ZA Office, Karnal order No.2165-68 dated 18.05.2018 is hereby cancelled.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-VII-2018/** <sup>1727</sup>~~85443-50~~ **Dated:** 22.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Jeep Driver from O/o DMEO, HSAM Board, Sonapat/Panipat.
2. The ZA, HSAM Board, Karnal.
3. The DMEO, HSAM Board, Panipat & Sonapat.
4. The PS/Chairperson, CA, and PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 5. The Executive Engineer (IT.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Dy. Supdt. (Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The following Auction Recorder is hereby transferred as under:-

Sr. No.	Name & Designation S/Sh.	From	To
1.	Sajjan Kumar, A.R.	M.C. Narnaund (Hisar)	M.C. Hansi
2.	Jagmal Singh, A.R.	M.C. Barara	M.C. Kaithal


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn-V-2018/1726/85414-29 Dated: 22.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officials.
2. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
3. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
4. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees concerned.
6. The PS /PA to chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
- ✓ 7. The EE (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.

  
Dy. Supdt.(Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD  
PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

The following officers/officials, HSAM Board are hereby deputed as under:

Sr. No.	Name S/Sh./Smt.	From	To
1	Chander Mohan, S.O, Kisan Bhawan	Kisan Bhawan	Panchkula Division (Additional Charge)
2	Ruchika Sharma, AAO	Elect. Division	Engineering Wing (relieving Sh. Shakti Singh, AO from addl. Charge)
3	Hardev Singh, DA	Panchkula Division	Pipli Division
4	Blawan Ram, SDC	Jind Division	Kaithal Division


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst.No.Admn.-IV-2018/1729/85461-77 Dated: 22.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. All the above named officers/officials.
2. The CFA, HSAMB, Panchkula.
3. The Chief Engineer-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The ADO-I/II, HSAMB, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Panchkula/Jind/Kaithal/Pipli.
6. The XEN (IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.
7. The PS to Chairperson/CA/Secretary, HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt.(Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA.

ORDER

Sh. Hakkikat Rai, Secretary-cum-E.O., posted at H.Q. Panchkula (deployed in Market Committee, Dhand) is hereby transferred & posted as such in Market Committee, Karnal.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF AMDINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.VI-2018/1734/86593 Dated: 29/11/18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action: -

1. Shri Hakkikat Rai, Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Dhand.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator/Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Karnal/Dhand.
5. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
6. The EE(IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
Supdt.(Admn.),  
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Smt. Neetu, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Tauru is hereby deputed in Market Committee, Gurugram.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst No. Admn-II-2018/1733/85644-57** Dated: 26.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. The above named Official.
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chairperson/CA/Secretary Board.
7. The EE(IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

*Suh*  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Smt. Neetu, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Tauru is hereby deputed in Market Committee, Gurugram.

These orders shall take immediate effect.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst No. Admn-II-2018/1733/85644-57**

**Dated: 26.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. The above named Official.
2. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS/PA to Chiarperson/CA/Secretary Board.
7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkla.

  
**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The following Auction Recorders are hereby deployed as  
under:-

Sr. No.	Name & Designation S/Shri	From	To
1	Mukesh Kumar, A.R.	Julana	Safidon
2	Parvesh Kumar, A.R.	Gohana	Safidon


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn-V-2018/1735/85734-52, Dated: 26.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and  
necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officials.
2. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
3. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
4. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees concerned.
6. The PS /PA to chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
7. The EE (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.

  
Dy. Supdt.(Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

In terms of decision taken by the Administrative Committee vide agenda No. 10 in its meeting held on 26.10.2018, S/Sh. Dinesh Kumar Garg and Laxman Dass, Superintending Engineers are hereby promoted to the post of Chief Engineer in the pay scale of Rs. 37400-67000+10000 GP (New Pay Scale of level -19) **with effect from 01.12.2018** subject to the final outcome of the seniority dispute. Their promotion is further subject to the condition that in case any adverse remarks are received/noticed in their ACRs, for the years 2008-09, 2011-12 & 2012-13 in case of Sh. D.K.Garg and for the years 2010-11 to 2012-13 and 2015-16 in case of Sh. Laxman Dass, their promotion shall stand withdrawn automatically without any notice or cause stated.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/

1736  
85772-810

Dated: 26.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officers.
2. The EIC/C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. All the Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in the State.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. All Branch Officers/Incharges at Head Quarter, Panchkula.
- ✓ 6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

As per provision prescribed under rule 143 of the Haryana Civil Services (General) Rules, 2016, Sh. Raj Kumar Malik, Sub Divisional Engineer (Civil) will superannuate at the age of 60 years instead of 58 years, in view of medical certificate issued by the Medical Board of PT. B.D.Sharma, PGIMS, Rohtak.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/1737/85811-21**

**Dated: 26.11.18.**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Raj Kumar Malik, Sub Divisional Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rewari.
2. The EIC, C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard, Gurugram.
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rewari.
- ✓ 6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The Superintendent (C&E), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
8. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt.(Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**


As per decision taken by the Administrative Committee vide agenda item No.12, in its meeting held on 26.10.2018, S/Sh. Parveen Gupta and Atul Parkash, Sub Divisional Engineers are hereby promoted to the post of Executive Engineer in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+6000 GP (New pay scale FPL-11) with immediate effect subject to the claim of their seniors, if any. It is made clear that in case their senior(s) who is/are presently facing disciplinary proceedings under rule-7 is/are exonerated from the charges, then they shall automatically stand reverted back to their original post of SDE without any notice or cause stated and the senior officer(s) will accordingly be promoted against the relevant vacancy(ies).

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/1738/85866-905 Dated: 27.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officers.
2. The EIC/C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. All the Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in the State.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. N.S.Kundu, Engineer-in-Chief, HSAMBoard, Panchkula shall stand retired from the service of the Board on 31.12.2018 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.


These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board which may arise as a result of the departmental enquiries/charge sheets pending against him, or which may be issued hereafter.

**JOGINDER SINGH  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

**Endst No.Admn.-III-2018/ 1742/ 86622-65**      **Dated: 27-11-18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. N.S.Kundu, Engineer-in-Chief, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
2. The EIC/Chief Engineer, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
5. The Executive Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
6. All the Branch Officer/Incharge, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 7. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
8. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.),  
for Administrative Officer.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Satinder Singh, Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rohtak shall stand retired from the service of the Board on 31.12.2018 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.


These orders are without prejudice to the departmental inquiries pending against him and to the claims of the Board which may arise as a result of the departmental enquiries/charge sheets pending against him, or which may be issued hereafter.

**JOGINDER SINGH  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Endst No. Admn.-III-2018/ <sup>1743</sup> 86666-310, Dated: 27.11.18.

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Satinder Singh, Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rohtak.
2. The Chief Engineer-I & II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
5. The Executive Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
6. All the Branch Officer/Incharge, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
8. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
Supdt. (Admn.),  
for Administrative Officer.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Shri Jai Parkash, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Gharaunda shall stand retired from the service of the Board/Market Committee on 30.11.2018 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.

These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board/Market Committee, which may arise hereafter and enquiries pending against him.

**JAIDEEP KUMAR  
SECRETARY**

**Endst. No. Admn.II-2018/1745/86949-62** Dated: 27.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. Shri Jai Parksh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Gharaunda.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee Gharaunda. He is asked to submit the no dues certificate of the official and also to get provide/sent the pension papers immediately.
3. The ZA/ZEMO/DMEO, HSAMB, concerned.
4. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAMB, Panchkula.
5. Suptd.C&E Branch, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
6. The XEN(IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.
7. The PS/PA to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt.(Admn.),  
For Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING  
BOARD PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The posting/adjustment of the following Drivers of the field offices are hereby ordered as under:-

Sr. No.	Name of Car/Jeep Driver	From	To	Remarks
1.	Sh. Radhey Shyam	Market Committee, Adampur	O/o ZMEO, HSAM Board, Hisar	Already deployed in O/o ZMEO, Hisar
2.	Sh. Kalu Ram	O/o XEN, HSAM Board, Sirsa	Market Committee, Ellenabad	Adjusted Against Vacant Post
3.	Sh. Ashok Kumar	O/o XEN, HSAM Board, Fatehabad	Market Committee, Hisar	Already deployed in Market Committee, Hisar
4.	Sh. Rajpal Singh	Market Committee, Tohana	Market Committee, Jakhal	Posted in place of Sh. Satyawan, Car/Jeep Driver as he is medically unfit to attend duty as Driver.
5.	Sh. Neel Kanth	O/o XEN (E), HSAM Board, Hisar	O/o SE, HSAM Board, Hisar	Adjusted against Vacant Post
6.	Sh. Narender Chhariya	O/o XEN, HSAM Board, Karnal	Market Committee, Karnal	Adjusted Against Vacant Post
7.	Sh. Nafe Singh	O/o ZMEO, HSAM Board, Hisar	O/o XEN, HSAM Board, Bhiwani	Adjusted Against Vacant Post

These orders shall take immediate effect.


**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-VII-2018/ 1749/870 50-87080

Dated : 28.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and further necessary action:-

1. All the above named officials.
2. The Administrative Officer-I & II HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board Concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-Eos, Market Committee, Concerned.
5. The S.E./Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Concerned.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT)/SDE/(Mech.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. PS/PA to Chairperson/Chief Administrator & Secretary Board, HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Dy. Supdt. (Admn.)  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL  
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

Sh. Jogmohinder Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, HSAMBoard, Sonapat shall stand retired from the service of the Board on 31.12.2018 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.


These orders are without prejudice to the departmental inquiries pending against him and to the claims of the Board which may arise as a result of the departmental enquiries/charge sheets pending against him, or which may be issued hereafter.

**JOGINDER SINGH  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Endst No. Admn.-III-2018/ <sup>1747</sup> 86986-87025 Dated: 28.11.18

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Jogmohinder Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer, HSAMBoard, Sonapat.
2. The Chief Engineer-I & II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
5. The Executive Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
6. All the Branch Officer/Incharge, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
8. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
Supdt (Admn.),  
for Administrative Officer.

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA.

ORDER

Sh. Shiv Kumar, Secretary-cum-E.O. O/o ZMEO, HSAM Board, Hisar is hereby transferred & posted as such in Market Committee, Bhuna.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF AMDINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.VI-2018/ <sup>1755</sup> 87554-66 Dated: 29.11.18

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action: -

1. Shri Shiv Kumar, Secretary-cum-E.O., O/o ZMEO, HSAMB, Hisar.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator/Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Bhuna.
5. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
6. The EE(IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt.(Admn.),**  
**For Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

**ORDER**

The following postings/ adjustments of Executive Engineers are hereby ordered:

S. No.	Name S/Sh.	From	To	Remarks
1.	Ramesh Deswal	Gurugram	Faridabad	Posted at Faridabad. He will also look after the work of Gurugram Division in addition to his own duties without any extra remuneration.
2.	Deepak Verma	Faridabad	Sonepat	Deputed for 90 days, vice Sh. Mehar Singh.
3.	Mehar Singh	Sonepat	Karnal	Deputed for 90 days, against vacant post.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. Admn.-III-2018/1758/87098-48**

**Dated:29.11.2018**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. All the above named officers.
2. The EIC, C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. All the Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in the State.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

  
**Supdt. Admn.),  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING  
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Anuj Beniwal, Accountant (CDC), Market Committee, Siwani  
deputed in the O/o ZMEO, HSAM Board, Hisar for 3 months vide endst  
No. Admn.-II-2018/1172/57851-63 dated 28.08.2018, is further deputed in the  
O/o ZMEO, HSAM Board, Hisar for 3 months.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**HARDEEP SINGH  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst.No.Admn-VI-2018/1762/88397-410**

**Dated: 30.11.18**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and  
necessary action:-

1. Sh. Anuj Beniwal, Accountant (CDC), deputed in the O/o ZMEO,  
HSAM Board, Hisar.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA/PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board,  
Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

**Admn. Officer-II  
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING  
BOARD PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

The following adjustment/posting of Clerks at HSAM Board,  
Panchkula is hereby ordered as under :-

Sr. No.	Name	From	To	Remarks
1	Deepak, Clerk	Admn. Br. with A2	Admn. Br. with A4	
2	Ramesh Chander, Clerk	Chief Engineer	Admn. Br. with A2	Vice Sh. Deepak
3	Dharamender, Clerk	M.E. Branch	Chief Engineer	Vice Sh. Ramesh Chander

Sh. Ranbir Singh, Clerk is directed to handover the complete record of the seat of A4 to Sh. Deepak Clerk.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

Dated 29.11.2018


**JAIDEEP KUMAR  
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn.-IV-2018/1761/88384-94

Dated: 30-11-2018

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. All the above named officials.
2. The Chief Engineer, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAMB, Panchkula.
- ✓ 4. The XEN, (IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.
5. The Ado-II, HSAMB, Panchkula.
6. The Supdt. (M.E.Branch) HSAMB, Panchkula.
7. The PS/PA to Chairperson, CA & Secretary, HSAM Board, Panchkula.

  
**Supdt. (Admn.)  
for Secretary.**

Speed post

o/o 167  
**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. N.K. Bansal, Executive Engineer was charge sheeted under rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No. C&E-II/1859/2012/45160 dated 20.07.2012 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

1. That sub-standard work was got executed therefore, the same was rejected for deficiency in thickness and lesser compressive strength, which caused financial loss to the tune of Rs.15,16,237/- to the Market Committee/Board. This costs doubts on his integrity because the entire work was executed under his supervision.
2. That he got released payments of defective C.C. work in a length of 840m of the said road to the agency.
3. That he failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & further violated the provisions laid down under rule-3 (III) (iv) & (xii) of Work Procedure of the Board & Haryana PWD Code, para No.20.5.1 and Rule 3(1) (i), (ii) & (iii) and 3 (2) (i) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966.

The delinquent officer did not submit his reply within time limit. Lateron, he submitted his reply vide letter dated 04.09.2012 and denied all the charges levelled against him. After considering his reply, the departmental enquiry was entrusted to Shri R. P. Bhasin, Distt. & Sessions Judge (Retd.) vide order dated 05.09.2012, who submitted enquiry his report on 06.03.2013, wherein he has proved all the charges against the delinquent officer.

The enquiry report was considered by the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 16.05.2013 and a 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice was issued to the delinquent officer vide memo dated 12.06.2013 for "stoppage of four increments with cumulative effect besides recovery of loss amount" with the direction to submit reply within 15 days.

The delinquent officer submitted reply to the 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice vide letter dated 27.06.2013 and denied all the charges stating that the I.O. is a non technical person and he has not gone through/analysed the checking report submitted by the XEN (QC), test result of samples given by Sh. Ram Institute, New Delhi and even did not bother to read the section 602.15.2 of (MOST) specifications and clause 17.4.3 of IS 456-2000 and code SP: 24-1983 para 16.4 vide which he has submitted his defence reply to the I.O. and he had made his mind that loss was calculated by the officer of the level of S.E. who was holding the CDC charge as S.E. being technical person whereas things are different. Sh. Satender Singh, XEN who was junior to Sh. N.K. Bansal and he does not want his charge sheet be cleared

d

i.e. he has calculated the loss contrary to the provisions made in MOST specifications and codal provisions even, he has not given proper reply to his question before I.O. during cross - examination. It is a fact that the work was checked in the presence of S/Shri Kuldeep Saini, XEN, B:S. Dahiya, S.D.E and Kuldeep Singh, J.E wherein thickness of C.C. 1:1.5:3 was found 13.58 cm against the requirement 17.50 cm. Average thickness has wrongly been calculated for CC 1:1.5:3 laid at site. The height/thickness observed in respect of C.C 1:1.5:3 by the checking team is available on the 2<sup>nd</sup> sheet i.e. 7" ht/height on the outer edge.

He has further stated that the evaluation of loss as to described in the statement of allegations to the tune of Rs.15,16,237/- is not embodiment of facts by virtue of the inherent future that the test results and site report of XEN (Q.C) and only dart side picture has been taken into consideration and admitted by I.O. without going through the provisions available in the MOST specification. The inspecting team has taken only 6 nos. samples. Thus it did not present the coverage of huge quantity of CC to the tune of 538.01 cum as Sh. Satender Singh, XEN during cross-examination and in reply to question No.4, that the structure should not unnecessarily be declared unfit simply because as clause 16.4 of SP:24-1983. The number of test cores should be as large as possible with due regard to economic consideration.

That even evaluation of loss as alleged/proved by the Inquiry Officer has been worked out @ Rs.3200/- per cum as against Rs.3,150/- paid to the contractor in MB No.10590/09. This clearly shows that evaluation has not been done properly without consulting the record by Sh. Satender Singh the then SE, Rohtak and even Inquiry Officer has not bothered to consult the record because he has made his mind on the loss worked out by the high level technical person i.e. in the capacity of SE (Rohtak).

The reply to the 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice was considered by the competent authority and it was decided to seek comments from the Engineer-in-Chief. Accordingly, the matter was referred to Engineer-in-Chief vide memo dated 10.09.2013, who submitted his comments vide memo dated 23.06.2014, wherein he has pointed out a loss of Rs.13,33,506/- instead of Rs.15,16,237/-.

In the meantime, the competent authority heard S/Sh. B.S. Dahiya, S.D.E. and Kuldeep Dahiya, J.E. on 12.08.2014. After hearing, the competent authority decided to seek comments of the Engineer-in-Chief again on the representation submitted by both the charged officials. Accordingly, the matter was referred to Engineer-in-Chief (Sh. R.D. Pandir)

vide memo dated 21.08.2014, who submitted his comments on 16.10.2014, wherein he has worked out loss of Rs.4,31,737/-.

Lateron, the competent authority has withdrawn all such 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notices issued to any employees immediately and sent such memos to them. Accordingly, the 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice issued vide memo dated 14.06.2013 was withdrawn and a copy of the enquiry report was again sent to the charged officer vide memo dated 06.02.2015 with the direction to submit reply/objections within 30 days.

The delinquent officer submitted his objections vide letter dated 26.06.2015 stating that he has already submitted his reply on the conclusion made by the enquiry officer vide letter dated 27.06.2013 and the same be treated as his final reply/objection.

The objections submitted by the delinquent officer were considered by the competent authority and it was observed that four different officers have calculated/intimated the amount of loss involved in this case and the figure given by them is also different and the matter was referred to Technical Advisor vide memo dated 30.09.2016 for his expert opinion/loss. The Technical Advisor submitted his opinion vide memo dated 18.11.2016 stating that after examination of the process and procedure, it reveals that none of the delinquent officers and the agency had any reservation while selecting and indentifying the locations of the checking and the procedure of checking. The samples taken from the site and the procedure adopted was quite representative and devoid of any demerit. The positions taken by the respective delinquent are an afterthought and devoid of any engineering logic and opined that the enquiry officer has been clearly successful in proving the charges and the case beyond shadow of all reasonable doubt. The intention to defraud on the part of the accused and the agency is writ large on the face of the record.

The comments of Technical Advisor dated 18.11.2016 were placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 24.11.2016. The committee discussed the matter at length and observed that different comments/amount of loss cannot be ascertained. A committee comprising S.E. (V&QC), C.E.-I, C.E.-II headed by Technical Advisor was constituted. It was directed to re-examine the whole matter thoroughly and submit report alongwith exact loss calculation and clear cut recommendation.

The committee members i.e. S.E. (V&QC), C.E.-I & II submitted their report vide which they calculated total loss of Rs.8,97,135/-, whereas the Technical Advisor has calculated the total loss of Rs.13,33,506/-.

-4-

The comments offered by the committee members as well as Technical Advisor were placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 25.09.2018 vide agenda item no. 17 for consideration of objections/reply as well as comments offered by the C.E.-II dated 23.06.2014, EIC dated 16.10.2014 and C.E.-II dated 26.08.2016 as well as loss calculated by the committee members as well as Technical Advisor for consideration and appropriate orders.

The case was considered and decided by the Administrative Committee as under:-

"S/Sh. N.K. Bansal, Executive Engineer, B.S. Dahiya, Sub Divisional Engineer and Kuldeep Singh Dahiya Junior Engineer were heard by the committee in person. The matter was discussed in detail and observed that different comments/amount of loss has been pointed out by various senior officers. The committee decided that the loss calculated by Engineer-in-Chief i.e. Rs.4,31,737/- (Four lac Thirty One Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty Seven) be recovered apportioning as per provision/practice.

He is informed accordingly.



**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

**Endst. No. C&E-II-1859/2018/1617/78406-15** Dated:- 30/10/18,

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

- 1 Sh. N.K. Bansal, XEN (Retd.), #2748, Sector-37C, Chandigarh.
- 2 The EIC/C.E-I & II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
4. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
5. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.



**Supdt. (C&E),**  
**for Chief Administrator.**

o/o 1619  
Speed post

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,  
PANCHKULA.**

**ORDER**

Sh. Kuldeep Dahiya, Junior Engineer was charge sheeted under rule-7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No. C&E-II/1859/2012/45162 dated 20.07.2012 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

2. That sub-standard work was got executed therefore, the same was rejected for deficiency in thickness and lesser compressive strength, which caused financial loss to the tune of Rs.15,16,237/- to the Market Committee/Board. This casts doubts on his integrity because the entire work was executed under his supervision.
2. That he got record entry of sub standard/inferior quality material to facilitate payments of defective C.C. work in a length of 840m of the said road to the agency.
3. That he failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & further violated the provisions laid down under rule-3 (I) (iv) & (ix) of Work Procedure of the Board & Haryana PWD Code, para No.20.5.1 and Rule. 3(1) (i), (ii) & (iii) and 3 (2) (i) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966.

The delinquent official submitted his reply vide letter dated 07.08.2012 and denied all the charges levelled against him. After considering his reply, the departmental enquiry was entrusted to Shri R.P. Bhasin, Distt. & Sessions Judge (Retd.) vide order dated 05.09.2012, who has submitted enquiry report on 06.03.2013, wherein he has proved all the charges against the delinquent official.

The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and a 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice was issued to the delinquent official vide memo dated 14.05.2013 for "stoppage of four increments with cumulative effect besides recovery of loss amount" with the direction to submit reply within 15 days.

The delinquent official submitted reply to the 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice vide letter dated 31.07.2013 and denied all the charges stating that the Inquiry Officer appointed by your good self as a qualified retired session judge but neither he has any knowledge of technical matters of civil engineering nor he sought any help from any technical expert or civil engineer. He has given so many examples of poem and judgment/decision of Hon'ble High Court and Supreme Court cases where there is no relevancy of these criminal cases/judgment with this case and he got typed 10 pages (3 to 13) in his report and diverted the mind of authority from actual facts of this case. He has stated that the Inquiry Officer attached the statements of all the prosecution witnesses and delinquent as it is and not considered any cross examination and reply given by all the prosecution

witnesses in favour of any of delinquent. He has stated that the quality control team during checking took 7 nos. samples of CC 1:1.5:3 by way of core cutter and found different thickness on each point which was marked on the site plan. The quality control team measured the thickness of CC on the outer edge of road at every RD and found 7"/17.5 cms and prepared a separate site plan on which 7"/17.5 cms thickness as measured at site was marked and both the plans were signed by them. He has stated that facts are that the I.O. is a non technical person and he has not gone through/analysed the checking report submitted by the XEN (QC), test result of samples given by Sh. Ram Institute, New Delhi and even did not bother to read the section 602.15.2 of (MOST) specifications and clause 17.4.3 of IS 456-2000 and code SP: 24-1983 para 16.4 vide which he has submitted his defence reply to the I.O. and he had mind his made that loss was calculated by the officer of the level of S.E. who was holding the CDC charge as S.E. being technical person whereas things are different, who has calculated the loss contrary to the provisions made in MOST specifications and codal provisions even. He has not given proper reply to his question before I.O. during cross -examination. It is a fact that the work was checked in the presence of S/Shri Kuldeep Saini XEN, B.S. Dahiya, S.D.E and Kuldeep Singh, J.E where in thickness of C.C. 1:1.5:3 was found 13.58 cm against 17.50 cm. Average thickness was wrongly calculated for CC 1:1.5:3 laid at site. The height/thickness observed in respect of C.C 1:1.5:3 by the checking team is available on the 2<sup>nd</sup> sheet i.e. 7" ht/height on the outer edge.

He has stated that the evaluation of loss as to described in the statement of allegation to the tune of Rs.15,16,237/- is not embodiment of facts by virtue of the inherent future that the test results and site report of XEN (Q.C) and only dart side picture has been taken into consideration and admitted by I.O. without going through the provisions available in the MOST specification. The inspecting team has taken only 6 nos. samples. Thus it did not present the coverage of huge quantity of CC to the tune of 538.01 cum as Sh. Satender Singh, XEN during cross-examination and in reply to question No.4. That the structure should not unnecessarily be declared unfit simply because as clause 16.4 of SP:24-1983. The number of test cores should be as large as possible with due regard to economic consideration.

That even evaluation of loss as alleged/proved by the Inquiry Officer has been worked out @ Rs.3200/- per cum as against Rs.3150/- paid to the contractor in MB No.10590/09. This clearly shows that evaluation has

not been done properly without consulting the record by Sh. Satender Singh, the then SE, Rohtak and even Inquiry Officer has not bothered to consult the record because he has made his mind on the loss worked out by the high level technical person i.e. in the capacity of SE (Rohtak).

The reply to the 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice was considered by the competent authority and decided to seek comments from the Engineer-in-Chief. Accordingly the matter was referred to Engineer-in-Chief vide memo dated 10.09.2013, who submitted his comments vide memo dated 23.06.2014, wherein he has pointed out a loss of Rs.13,33,506/- instead of Rs.15,16,237/-.

The comments offered by the Engineer-in-Chief were considered by the competent authority and it was decided to hear him in person. He was heard on 12.08.2014. After hearing, the competent authority decided to seek comments of the Engineer-in-Chief again on the representation submitted by the charged official. Accordingly, the matter was referred to Engineer-in-Chief vide memo dated 21.08.2014, who submitted his comments on 16.10.2014, wherein he has worked out loss of Rs.4,31,737/-.

Lateron, the competent authority has withdrawn all such 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notices issued to any employees immediately and sent such memos to them. Accordingly, the 2<sup>nd</sup> show cause notice issued vide memo dated 14.06.2013 was withdrawn and a copy of enquiry report was again sent to the charged officer vide memo dated 06.02.2015 with the direction to submit reply/objections within 30 days.

The delinquent official submitted his objections vide letter dated 04.03.2015 and stated that he has already submitted his reply on the conclusion made by the enquiry officer vide letter dated 27.06.2013 and same be treated as his final reply/objection.

The objections submitted by the delinquent official were considered by the competent authority and it was observed that four different officers have calculated/intimated the amount of loss involved in this case and the figure given by them is also different and the matter was referred to Technical Advisor vide memo dated 30.09.2016 for his expert opinion/loss. The Technical Advisor was submitted his opinion vide memo dated 18.11.2016 stating that after examination of the process and procedure, it reveals that none of the delinquent officer and the agency had any reservation while selecting and identifying the locations of the checking and the procedure of checking and in his view all the samples taken from the site and the procedure adopted was quite representative and devoid of any demerit. The positions taken by the respective delinquents are an afterthought and devoid

of any engineering logic and in his opinion the enquiry officer had been clearly successful in proving the charges beyond the shadow of all reasonable doubts. The intention to defraud on the part of the accused and the agency is writ large on the face of the record.

The comments of Technical Advisor dated 18.11.2016 were placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 24.11.2016. The committee discussed the matter at length and observed that different comments/amount of loss cannot be ascertained. A committee was constituted comprising of S.E. (V&QC), C.E.-I, C.E.-II headed by Technical Advisor. It was directed to re-examine the whole matter thoroughly and submit report alongwith exact loss calculation and clear cut recommendation.

The committee member i.e. S.E. (V&QC), C.E.-I & II submitted their report vide which they have calculated total loss of Rs.8,97,135/- but the Technical Advisor has calculated total loss of Rs.13,33,506/-.

The comments offered by the committee members as well as Technical Advisor were placed before the Administrative Committee for consideration of objections/reply as well as comments offered by the C.E.-II dated 23.06.2014, EIC dated 16.10.2014 and C.E.-II dated 26.08.2016 as well as loss calculated by the committee member as well as Technical Advisor for consideration and appropriate orders.

The case was considered and decided by the Administrative Committee as under:-

"S/Sh. N.K. Bansal, Executive Engineer, B.S. Dahiya, Sub Divisional Engineer and Kuldeep Singh Dahiya Junior Engineer were heard by the committee in person. The matter was discussed in detail and observed that different comments/amount of loss has been pointed out by various senior officers. The committee decided that the loss calculated by Engineer-in-Chief i.e. Rs.4,31,737/- (Four lac Thirty One Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty Seven) be recovered apportioning as per provision/practice.

He is informed accordingly.




**HARDEEP SINGH**  
**CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

-5-

**Endst. No. C&E-II-1859/2018/1619/78426-35** Dated:- 30.10.18

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. Sh. Kuldeep Dahiya, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard, Sonapat.
2. The EIC/C.E-I & II/CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Sonapat. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
- ✓ 4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula. He is requested to ensure/make entry in the service book of the punishment.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

  
**Supdt. (C&E),**  
**for Chief Administrator.**