

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Consequent upon the revision of pay scale of employees of HSAM Board, w.e.f. 01.01.2016 issued vide this office memo No.GA-I-2017/61122-305 dated 09.10.2017 (Circular No.125), the pay of Sh. Ram Sarup, Peon (Retd.), HSAM Board, Panchkula is fixed as under:-

Date	Pay Fixed	Remarks
01.01.2016	Rs.32,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-01
01.07.2016	Rs.33,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-02
Retired on 31.08.2016		

The above pay fixation has been made with the concurrence of Accounts Branch.

Condition:-

1. Any excess payment found if incorrect fixation of pay discrepancies noticed later on will be subject to the adjustment in future.
2. Keeping in view the current financial position of the Board and its deficit, 50% of the arrears on account of revision of pay scales may be paid at this stage. The balance 50% arrears should be paid when the Board earns excess of income over expenditure. The Board should take effective measures to increase its revenue through stricter enforcement and plugging of loopholes to prevent leakage.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn.-VII/2017/1468/76067-69 Dated: 1.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Ram Sarup, Peon (Retd.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Absence period of Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Hisar from 25.06.2012 to 08.08.2012 is hereby treated as leave of kind due.


Dated 01.12.2017

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst.No.C&E-III-2017/641/1472/76139-46 Dated:- 11/11/17

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Karan Singh, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Hisar.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Hisar/Yamuna Nagar.
3. The Supdt. (Admn.)/A-V, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
4. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.


Supdt. (C&E)
for Chief Administrator.

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA

ORDER

The Atul Parkash, Executive Engineer, HSAM Board, Panipat is hereby transferred and posted as such at Yamuna Nagar Divison vice Sh.Ramesh Mittal, Executive Engineer and vice versa in public interest.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/1487/76367-95 Dated: 4.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officers
2. The EIC, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The C.E., HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula
5. The Superintending Engineers, HSAM Board concerned.
6. The Executive Engineers, HSAM Board concerned.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
8. The P.S to Chairperson, C.A and PA to Secretary Board.

for Supdt.(Admn.),
Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

The deployment order of Shri Hoshiar Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Barwala (Hisar) to Market Committee, Hisar issued vide order endst. No. Admn.VI-2016/1914/92924-35 dated 28.12.2016 is hereby cancelled.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn. II-2017/ 1488/76396-408 Dated: - 4.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. The above named official.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMB, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAMB, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee, concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.Os, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator & Secretary.
7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

**Supdt.(Admn.)
For Chief Administrator**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA

ORDER

Consequent upon the revision of pay scale of employees of HSAM Board, w.e.f. 01.01.2016 issued vide this office memo No.GA-I-2017/61122-305 dated 09.10.2017 (Circular No.125), the pay of Sh. Teg Singh, Driver, HSAM Board, Panchkula is fixed as under:-

Date	Pay Fixed	Remarks
01.01.2016	Rs.30,500/-	PC-04, Cell-07
01.07.2016	Rs.31,400/-	
01.08.2016	Rs.32,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-02
01.07.2017	Rs.34,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-03

The above pay fixation has been made with the concurrence of Accounts Branch.

Condition:-

1. Any excess payment found if incorrect fixation of pay discrepancies noticed later on will be subject to the adjustment in future.
2. Keeping in view the current financial position of the Board and its deficit, 50% of the arrears on account of revision of pay scales may be paid at this stage. The balance 50% arrears should be paid when the Board earns excess of income over expenditure. The Board should take effective measures to increase its revenue through stricter enforcement and plugging of loopholes to prevent leakage.

VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY

Endst. No. Admn.-VII/2017/ 1485/76355-57

Dated: 4.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Teg Singh, Driver, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Consequent upon the revision of pay scale of employees of HSAM Board, w.e.f. 01.01.2016 issued vide this office memo No.GA-I-2017/61122-305 dated 09.10.2017 (Circular No.125), the pay of Sh. Mahesh Kumar, Driver, HSAM Board, Panchkula is fixed as under:-

Date	Pay Fixed	Remarks
01.01.2016	Rs.27,900/-	FPL-04, Cell-04
01.07.2016	Rs.28,700/-	FPL-04, Cell-05
01.08.2016	Rs.32,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-01
01.07.2017	Rs.33,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-02
Date of next increment 01.07.2018		

The above pay fixation has been made with the concurrence of Accounts Branch.

Condition:-

1. Any excess payment found if incorrect fixation of pay discrepancies noticed later on will be subject to the adjustment in future.
2. Keeping in view the current financial position of the Board and its deficit, 50% of the arrears on account of revision of pay scales may be paid at this stage. The balance 50% arrears should be paid when the Board earns excess of income over expenditure. The Board should take effective measures to increase its revenue through stricter enforcement and plugging of loopholes to prevent leakage.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn.-VII/2017/ 1486/76359-61

Dated: 4.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Mahesh Kumar, Driver, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Consequent upon the revision of pay scale of employees of HSAM Board, w.e.f. 01.01.2016 issued vide this office memo No.GA-I-2017/61122-305 dated 09.10.2017 (Circular No.125), the pay of Sh. Mohan Chander, Driver (Retd.), HSAM Board, Panchkula is fixed as under:-

Date	Pay Fixed	Remarks
01.01.2016	Rs.62,000/-	ACPL-08, Cell-21
01.07.2016	Rs.63,900/-	ACPL-08, Cell-22
Retired on 31.07.2016		

The above pay fixation has been made with the concurrence of Accounts Branch.

Condition:-

1. Any excess payment found if incorrect fixation of pay discrepancies noticed later on will be subject to the adjustment in future.
2. Keeping in view the current financial position of the Board and its deficit, 50% of the arrears on account of revision of pay scales may be paid at this stage. The balance 50% arrears should be paid when the Board earns excess of income over expenditure. The Board should take effective measures to increase its revenue through stricter enforcement and plugging of loopholes to prevent leakage.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn.-VII/2017/1475/76/76-78. Dated: 4.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Mohan Chander, Driver (Retd.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
- ✓ 3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

**Supdt.(Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

ORDER


The deployment order of Shri Joginder Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Rohtak to Market Committee, Loharu issued vide order endst No. Admn.II-2017/1144/66019-30 dated 27.10.2017 is hereby cancelled.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR
Dated:04.12.2017**

**Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/1496
76179-91**

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. The above named official.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Zonal Administrator/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Chairman/Administrator/Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee concerned.
- ✓ 5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
6. The PA to Hon'ble Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.


Supdt.(Admn.)
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD PABCHKULA**

ORDER

Sh. Jai Bhagwan, Leading Fireman O/o Market Committee, Hansi shall stand retired from the services of the Board w.e.f. 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter and the result of the enquiries pending against him, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn-VII-2017/1492/76436-43 Dated: 5.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Jai Bhagwan, Leading Fireman O/o Market Committee, Hansi.
2. The Director, Urban Local Bodies-cum-Fire Service Haryana, Bays no.11-14, Sector -4, Panchkula.
3. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Hansi. He is requested to send the complete pension papers of Sh. Jai Bhagwan, Leading Fireman.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA and PA to Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD PABCHKULA**

ORDER

Smt. Shimla Devi, Peon O/o Executive Engineer, H.S.A.M. Board, Karnal shall stand retired from the services of the Board w.e.f. 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 60 years without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter and the result of the enquiries pending against him, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn-VII-2017/1490/76419-25 Dated: 5.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Smt. Shimla Devi, Peon O/o XEN, H.S.A.M. Board, Karnal.
2. The Executive Engineer, H.S.A.M. Board, Karnal. They are requested to send the Pension Papers within time in respect of the said official.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The PS to Chairperson/CA and PA to Secretary Board.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.

**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD PABCHKULA**

ORDER

Sh. Mahabir Singh, Driver Operator O/o Market Committee, Dabwali shall stand retired from the services of the Board w.e.f. 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter and the result of the enquiries pending against him, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn-VII-2017 1491/76428-35 **Dated:** 5/12/17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Mahabir Singh, Driver Operator O/o Market Committee, Dabwali.
2. The Director, Urban Local Bodies-cum-Fire Service Haryana, Bays no.11-14, Sector -4, Panchkula.
3. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Dabwali. He is requested to send the complete pension papers of Sh. Mahabir Singh, Driver Operator.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The PS to Chairperson/CA and PA to Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

Sanction is hereby accorded to release the leave encashment of 300 days utilized earned leave in respect of Shri Rama Nand, MS (Retired), O/o the Market Committee, Siwani.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/ 1502 Dated: - 6.12.17
76920-23

Regd.

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action: -

1. Shri Rama Nand, MS (Retired), VPO Balsmand, Tehsil & Dist. Hisar.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Siwani.
3. The C.F.A., HSAMB, Panchkula.
4. The EE(IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.

**Supdt.(Admn.),
For Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Sh. Mohd. Irfan, Junior Engineer, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board, Faridabad is hereby deployed at Nuh (Gurgaon Division).

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/ 1503 | 77060-73 Dated: 6.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Mohd. Irfan, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard, Faridabad.
2. The C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineers, HSAMBoard concerned.
5. The Executive Engineers, HSAM Board, Faridabad.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson, C.A and PA to Secretary Board.


**Supdt.(Admn.),
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

S/Sh. Vijender Singh and Rajpal Nain, Junior Engineers are hereby entrusted current duty charge of Sub Divisional Engineer in their own pay scale without any extra remuneration subject to the claim of their seniors, if any. Their posting orders will be issued separately.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/ 150/ 76862-72, Dated: 6.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officers.
2. The C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula
4. The Superintending Engineer, HSAM Board concerned.
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAM Board concerned.
- ✓ 6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson, C.A and PA to Secretary Board.


**Supdt. (Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,
PANCHKULA

ORDER

In view of the provisions contained in rule 5 of the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependants of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006, sanction to release the financial assistance equal to the pay and allowances (except HRA) that was last drawn by late Sh. Virender Singh, A.R. S/o Sh. Banarsi to the family of the deceased, is hereby accorded for the period from 16.09.2017 to 15.09.2029. The family of the deceased will become eligible for family pension w.e.f. 16.09.2029 onwards.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn-V-2017/ 1504/77074-76 Dated: 6/12/17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Smt. Sonia w/o late Shri Virender Singh, V.P.O. Nahar, Tehsil Kosli Distt. Rewari.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Tauru. The Service Book of the deceased official is also returned herewith.
3. The E.E.(IT) HSAM Board Panchkula.

DA/Service book

Supdt. (Admn.)
for Chief Administrator.

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA

ORDER

Shri Naval Kishore, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Hodal is hereby deployed as such in Market Committee, Hasanpur till further orders.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst.No.Admn-V-2017/1506/3717-9.85 Dated: 7.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh.Naval Kishore, Auction Recorder, Market Committee, Hodal.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, H.S.A.M.Board, Panchkula.
3. The ZA, ZMEO/DMEO, HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees concerned.
5. PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator & PA to Secretary Board.
- ✓ 6. The EE (IT), H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR
Supdt.(Admn.)
for Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

The notice dated 27.09.2017 served by Smt. Rashim Sikka, Architectural Assistant, HSAM Board, Panchkula for voluntary retirement is hereby accepted and she is allowed to retire from service with effect from 31.12.2017 (A.N.).

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst No. Admn-III-2017/ 1512/77359/95 Dated: 8.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Smt. Rashim Sikka, Architectural Assistant, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Architect, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. All the Superintending Engineers/Executive Engineers in the State.
5. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.


**Supdt.(Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.**

Speed post**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,
PANCHKULA.****ORDER**

Sh. Naresh Kumar Bansal, Executive Engineer was charge sheeted under rule-2.2(b) of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Vol. II. read with Rule 7 of the HCS (P&A) Rules, 1987 vide this office memo No C&E-II/2014/2015/71828 dated 27.07.2015 and the following charges were levelled against him:-

1. That he did not check the site physically before preparation of rough cost estimate for the work construction of covered shed Sub Yard at Garhi, Market Committee, Narwana due to which the work could not be started timely, as the wheat bags were stacked by HAFED and 33 KV electric line was also passing over the site of the work.
2. That he did not release the payment of work done by the 1st agency timely due to which agency went into arbitration and the Arbitrator announced the award in favour of agency for Rs.3,53,065/- and the Board has suffered a financial loss.
3. Thus, he has failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently & has violated the provisions laid down under Rule 3(III) (iv) & (xii) of Work Procedure of the Board and Rule 3(1) (i), (ii), (iii) & 3 (2) (ii) of the Haryana Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966.

The charged officer submitted reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 10.08.2015 and denied all the charges leveled against him.

The reply of the charged officer was considered by the competent authority and was found unsatisfactory. The enquiry was entrusted to Sh. R. R. Banswal, IAS (Retd.) vide order dated 08.12.2015, who has submitted enquiry report vide memo dated 27.07.2016, wherein he has stated that both the witnesses S/Sh. Ishpal Chauhan and Naveen Dahiya have admitted that the line over the work site was LT line only instead of 33 KV line. From the perusal of the record and statement of the witnesses, it has been revealed that the said work pertained to the CM announcement and accordingly, the rough cost estimate was submitted to the Head Office for obtaining administrative approval. Both the witnesses clearly admitted that the site was physically checked by the delinquent before preparation of rough cost estimate and also there was no 33 KV electric line passing over the site of work, but it was actually LT line. On the above facts and statements of the witnesses, the first charge is not proved against the delinquent, but it was certainly a mistake on the part of the delinquent that he did not mention the defects in the history of the rough cost estimate for approval of higher authority which is a lapse on the part of the delinquent.

The I.O. has not proved charge No.2 stating that after considering the whole case and the facts explained, the charge against the delinquent that he did not release the payment of work done by the 1st agency timely is not proved as all the three witnesses have already admitted that the delinquent

released all running payments to the agency timely within the extended agreement period as per record.

The enquiry report was considered by the competent authority and it was decided to send a copy of the enquiry report alongwith dissenting note to the delinquent with the directions to submit his objections/reply within 30 days. Accordingly, the same were sent to him vide memo dated 20.10.2016. The dissenting note records as under:-

The charged officer has submitted his objections/reply vide letter dated 16.11.2016 stating that the IO appointed by the Board has not proved the charges in his conclusion report dated 27.07.2016, but the competent authority disagreeing with the report of IO has issued a dissenting note without going into the facts, documents on record and statements of departmental witnesses and their cross examination. He has also stated that it is not understood how it is relevant to check the site physically before issue of allotment letter to the agency on 11.09.2000. Removal of small obstructions on the site does not mean that we should not allot the work otherwise not a single development work can be taken in hand and this may lead to further cost escalation with the passage of time lapsed.

He has also stated that he was posted as EE, Jind upto 20.02.2002, then how he can be blamed to keep the matter pending beyond 20.02.2002 for not initiating action under clause-II & III after a lapse of more than one year i.e. on 08.04.2003 beyond the extended time period i.e. upto 31.03.2002. Recording of final measurement of work executed by the 1st agency on 03.07.2003 after one year and 4 months after the expiry of time extension on 31.03.2002 is not concerned with him as he left the office on 20.02.2002. He also stated that he had not received any request from the contractor to make him full and final settlement of his dues as completion of work was delayed due to hindrances. During his tenure, the contractor was executing the work but with slow speed but never refused to complete the work. Moreover, the HSAMBoard had not spent any extra amount in awarding the balance work to the 2nd agency. This is all as per agreement and work procedure and nobody can do much in cost escalation with the time lapsed in following the departmental procedures. He has also prayed that whole charge sheet may be dropped. If any iota of doubt remains anywhere, then he may be granted an opportunity to be heard in person to explain the whole position.

The objections submitted by the charged officer were placed before the Administrative Committee in its meeting held on 07.11.2017 vide agenda item No.08. The case was considered and decided by the Administrative Committee as under:-

"The Committee confirmed the orders of Chief Administrator of appointment of IO/PO. The Committee considered the enquiry report and objections submitted by the charged officer in detail. The Committee heard Sh. N.K. Bansal, in person. Further, on perusal of the office

record presented before the Committee, the Committee observed that while deciding the charge sheets of Sh. Davender Kumar J.E. and Sh. Jagbir Singh, SDE vide separate orders dated 18.03.2016, the Chief Administrator ordered that the loss is liable to be made good from the concerned Executive Engineers, SDEs and JEs who remained incumbent during the relevant period in the ratio of 20:40:40 respectively to meet the end of justice. Thus, after due deliberation, the Committee decided to award punishment of 2% cut in pension for a period of one year besides recovery of 20% of total loss of Rs.2,40,467/- from Sh. N.K. Bansal".


He is informed accordingly.


MANDIP SINGH BRAR,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR.

Endst. No. C&E-II-1753/2017/1511/77319-325 Dated:- 8.12.17

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. Sh. N.K. Bansal, XEN (Retd.), # 2748, Sector-37-C, Chandigarh.
2. The Chief Engineer-I & II, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.


Supdt. (C&E),
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

The annual increments of officers/officials, Market Committee's w.e.f 01.07.2017 are hereby granted subject to condition that no increment has been stopped at that time as under:-

Sr. No.	Name S/Sh./Smt.	Present Pay	Pay after increment	Date of Increment
1.	Jasbir Singh, Secretary-cum-EO , Ladwa	15850+4600GP	16470+4600 GP	01.07.2017
2.	Narender Kumar, Secretary-cum-EO , Kanina	15850+4600GP	16470+4600 GP	01.07.2017
3.	Vinod Kumar, Secretary-cum-EO , Barwala (P)	19600+4600GP	20330+4600 GP	01.07.2017
4.	Pawan Chopra, Secretary-cum-EO , Jind	13360+4600GP	13910+4600 GP	01.07.2017
5.	Ravinder Nehra, Secretary-cum-EO , (H.Q.)	14000+4600GP	14560+4600GP	01.07.2017
6.	Rajinder Singh, ASMC, O/o DMEO, Hisar	19070+4000GP	19770+4000GP	01.07.2017

**VARSHA KHANGWAL,
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn-VI-2017/1520/77708-2R Dated:- 12.12.17

A copy is forwarded to for information and necessary action to:-

1. All the above named officers.
2. The Chairman Market Committee,s concerned. They are requested to ensure that the above said officers were present as on the date of grant of annual increments.
3. The CFA,HSAM, Board, Panchkula.
4. The XEN (IT),HSAM Board, Panchkula.


**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING
BOARD PANCHKULA

ORDER

Sh. Madan Lal, Peon O/o HSAM Board, Panchkula is hereby granted 2nd ACP scale of Rs. 4440-7440+1900 GP w.e.f. 04.03.2014 as per Government instructions dated 04.03.2014.

VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY

Endst No. Admn.-VII-2017/1522/77759-6/ Dated: 13.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Madan Lal, Peon O/o HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


Supdt (Admn.)
for Secretary

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

Sh. Vijay Pal, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Hisar is hereby
deployed in Market Committee, Uklana.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn. II-2017/1525/77942-58 Dated: - 13/12/12

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for
information and necessary action: -

1. The above named official.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMB, Panchkula.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAMB, concerned.
4. The Administrator/Chairman, Market Committee,
concerned.
5. The Secretary-cum-E.Os, Market Committee, concerned.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator & Secretary.
7. The EE(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

**Supdt. (Admn.)
For Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD,
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Shri S.K. Goel, XEN was charge sheeted under Rule-8 of the Haryana Civil Services (Punishment & Appeals) Rules, 1987 vide this office Memo No. C&E-II-1653/2018/9572 dated 07.02.2008 with following allegations:-

“That the Market Committee, Barwala had acquired land measuring 21 acre, 7 kanal and 7 marla for the development of New Grain Market at Barwala. After the acquisition of land, the Administrative Approval of Rs.22,02,900/- was accorded on 21.01.1998 for the construction of boundary wall on the basis of the survey plan and R/C/Estimate. Accordingly, the work of construction of B/Wall was allotted to the Contractual agency in March, 1998 and the said work was completed by the agency on 20.04.2000 with an overall expenditure of 14,86,225/- incurred during the incumbency of S/Shri Vijay Parkash, S.K. Goel, Xens, S.K. Aggarwal, S.M. Sharma, SDOs and Rajesh Sanghi, JE. The final bill was prepared on 30.05.2000 but paid on 18.08.2005.

That an expenditure of Rs.1,33,216/- was incurred on reconstruction of damaged portion of Boundary Wall in 150 feet length against an administrative approval of Rs.1,45,900/- accorded vide memo No. RGM-0114 dated 23.08.2001. Again an expenditure of Rs.93,690/- was incurred on the reconstruction of said boundary wall for a length of 127 feet against an administrative approval of Rs.99,400/- accorded vide memo No. RGM-0144 dated 29.10.2002. Thus, an expenditure of Rs.2,26,906/- was incurred on the reconstruction work of boundary wall which was completed hardly two years ago i.e. on 20.04.2000 having minimum life span of 25 years from the date of completion.

That he in connivance with the SDO/JE/Agency got executed the below specification work of Boundary Wall which was damaged after two years from completion at two points having stretch of 150' and 137'. Thus, he acted in irresponsible & unprofessional manner and failed to exercise technical, administrative and financial control over the work which led to a huge financial loss to the Market Committee/Board for which he himself is squarely responsible. Had he work vigilantly the alleged loss could have been avoided. The alleged loss on account of carrying out rectification and repair amounting to Rs.2,26,906/- is liable to be made good from him on proportionate basis. In this way, he miserably failed to watch interest

of the department and gave undue benefit to the contractual agency with malafide intention and this attitude shows gross negligence on his part. That he violated the provision laid in the PWD Manual of orders, work Procedure of the Board and thus, violated the provisions laid down in the Rule 3(i), (ii) & (iii) of the Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966. Thus, he failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently."

He was asked to submit the reply within 15 days from the receipt of the memorandum. The delinquent officer submitted his reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 07.04.2008 and denied all the allegations leveled against him stating that the allegations against him are highly unjustified and is a result of gross distortion of factual position. He also submitted in his reply that the boundary wall was constructed about 8 years before issuance of charge sheet and that the allegations are leveled in an arbitrary and evasive manner. He also submitted in his reply that the allegations of the execution of work below specifications were leveled without explaining how the work had been carried out below specifications. He also submitted in his reply that the whole length of the boundary wall is standing erected while it has fallen down only in two segments. He also submitted in his reply that no attempt was made to find out how and under what factors such segments of the wall had actually been fallen down. He stated that an allegation not firm in nature, is not tenable as per law. He also submitted in his reply that it is categorically provided in the Govt. guidelines that the ground on which any Departmental proceedings are started need to be firm and definite. He also stated that the boundary walls in general, are constructed in HSAMB on the basis of R/C/Estimates prepared and approved as per standard design and immediately after acquisition of land just to take physical possession of the land from the farmers and to avoid encroachment of land. He also submitted in his reply that the boundary walls are constructed in general, exactly on the boundary of the land acquired just leaving no land outside. He also submitted in his reply that the farmers cultivate their fields just close to the foundation of the wall as there is much rise in the cost of land and they do not that this act of them is dangerous and hazardous to the boundary wall and it may collapse of seepage of water of the agriculture field in the foundation of the boundary wall. He also submitted in his reply that this is one of the major reason that the boundary wall in some segment of the whole length gets badly affected and fell down. He also submitted in his reply that there is no evidence of disintegration of brick work which goes to prove that the quality of work was quite upto the mark and that cement mortar

Ad

used was not sub-standard. He submitted some reasons behind collapse of the boundary wall as under:-

1. Land around the NGM was most fertile for agricultural purposes and the water remained seeping in all through the air either when paddy or wheat crop is shown.
2. Execution of heavy earth work in the construction of roads in the entire width upto and along the boundary wall created earth pressure inside the grain market which caused adverse impact as there stood to be on result outer force to counter the said earth pressure.
3. Had the Secretary-cum-E.O. taken due precautions after construction of the boundary wall to prohibit and restrained farmers to cultivate their fields and sow paddy and wheat close to the foundation of the boundary wall, such an eventuality would have been avoided.

He also submitted in his reply that the no explanation was called before charge sheeting him.

However, before final disposal of this charge sheet after the said reply, the delinquent officer was dismissed vide order dated 22.04.2008 in view of his conviction by the Hon'ble Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri in a case pertaining to FIR No. 120 dated 24.04.2001 which was registered against him at Police Station, Jagadhri. Therefore this charge sheet was remained pending due to said dismissal. Sh. S.K. Goel had filed an appeal before Hon'ble High Court against the conviction order dated 18.09.2002. The said appeal was allowed by the Hon'ble Court vide its judgment dated 17.12.2012 and the conviction order dated 17.09.2002 was set aside. Therefore, the competent authority ordered to give an opportunity of personal hearing to the delinquent before the final disposal of this charge sheet. In the meantime, the delinquent Sh. S.K. Goel had already attained the age of superannuation in 2009.

~~The delinquent officer~~ appeared in this office and reiterated the same version as he stated in his reply. He also stated that he is already retired from the services and he is now 66 years old. He submitted that the charge sheet of JE/SDE concerned has already been dropped vide order dated 07.04.2010 and therefore, he may also be exonerated.

After hearing the arguments, the relevant record of the case was perused with the assistance of the concerned staff of the Board. The then Chief Administrator while disposed of the charge-sheets issued against the SDE and JE involved in this matter, held that the allegations

Ab
[Signature]

pertaining to the case of boundary wall are not found proved against them. He observed as follows in his order dated 15.03.2010:-

"After going through the report of CE Board dated 01.09.2006, allegations, reply to the charge sheets, version taken during hearing and other facts placed on file from which it is evident that the sub standard work was carried out at site and the platform constructed during their tenure was damaged. Moreover, the work of C:C platform was damaged after one year whereas its life span is defined for 25 years. The rough cost estimate was prepared for rectification of damaged platform for Rs. 05,35,500/- is a sufficient proof of pecuniary loss caused to the M.C/Board. Thus, they acted in unprofessional manner and failed to exercise technical & financial control over the work which led to huge financial loss for which they are squarely responsible. **So far as the case of boundary wall is concerned, the allegations against S/Sh. Ashok Kallan, J.E and S.M Sharma are not found proved** but allegations concerning to the work of construction of platform cannot be ruled out. Thus, the allegations against the delinquents are fully established and recovery of alleged loss concerning to platform is warranted from them. However, taking a lenient view, the recovery of alleged loss of Rs. 5,35,000/- is ordered to be made good on the proportionate basis from the concerned J.E:SDE:E.E in a ratio of 40:40:20 respectively as they failed to watch the interest of the Board".

The work of C:C platform was executed during the tenure of Sh. A.K Gupta, XEN while the work of boundary wall was executed during the tenure of the delinquent officer as XEN. In view of the aforesaid observations of the then Chief Administrator, the arguments given by the delinquent and the fact that he has already retired, the charge sheet is hereby dropped.

Be communicated.

Place: Panchkula
Dated: 02.11.2017

(MANDIP SINGH BRAR)
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. C&E-II-1653/2017/1535/78248-54 Dated:- 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. Sh. S.K. Goel, S.E. (Retd.), # 2247, Sector-7, U/E, Karnal.
2. The CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

Supdt. (C&E),
for Chief Administrator.

ORDER

Shri S.K. Goel, XEN was charge sheeted under rule-8 of the Haryana Civil Services (Punishment & Appeals) Rules, 1987 vide this office Memo No. C&E-II-2008/1655/25419 dated 28.03.2008 and following allegations were leveled against him:-

"That the 'construction work of Office Building of M.C. Shahzadpur' was allotted to Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Contractor, Ambala Cantt by the Executive Engineer, Ambala vide his office memo No. 3600 dated 06.11.98 and the agency had completed the work on 30.10.1999 in all respects. While making the final payment to the agency, the Executive Engineer, Ambala deducted Rs. 10,208/- on account of excess and saving from his final bill. The whole work was executed in the supervision of field staff and record entries were made in the MB as per actual execution at site of work. The agency approached the competent authority to appoint the Arbitrator. The Superintending Engineer (HQ) was appointed as Arbitrator to settle the dispute who by going through the facts of the case, pronounced award in favour of the agency on 13.03.2006 of Rs.10,208/- along-with 6% interest per annum from 01.03.2000 till the date of realization. On the request of the Executive Engineer, Ambala, the Chief Engineer office arranged the sanction of Rs.15,934/- payable to the agency subject to fixation of responsibility of the concerned staff who were found involved in the preparation of rough cost estimate and in the execution of work are liable for financial implication.

That he (Shri S.K. Goel) remained negligent in discharging his duties and deducted the excess/saving amount from the final payment of the agency without having any undertaking which constrained the agency to approach for arbitration. Thus, he acted in irresponsible & unprofessional manner and failed to watch the financial interest of the department. Had he worked vigilantly then the alleged loss could have been avoided. The alleged loss on account of interest and litigation charges to the tune of Rs. 15,930/- is liable to be made good from him on proportionate basis. That he violated the provision laid in the PWD Manual of orders, work Procedure of the Board and thus, violated the provisions laid down in the Rule 3(i), (ii) & (iii) of the Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966. Thus, he failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently."

He was asked to submit the reply within 15 days from receipt of memorandum. In the meantime, he was dismissed vide order dated 22.04.2008 in view of his conviction by the Hon'ble Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri in a case pertaining to FIR No. 120 dated

24.04.2001 which was registered against him at Police Station, Jagadhri. Therefore this charge sheet was remained pending due to said dismissal. However Sh. S.K. Goel had filed an appeal before Hon'ble High Court against the conviction order dated 18.09.2002. The said appeal was allowed by the Hon'ble Court vide its judgment dated 17.12.2012 and the conviction order dated 17.09.2002 was set aside. Therefore, the competent authority ordered to give an opportunity of personal hearing to the delinquent before the final disposal of this charge sheet. In the meantime, the delinquent Sh. S.K. Goel had already attained the age of superannuation in 2009.

The delinquent officer appeared before the competent authority. He stated that the excess/saving amount always calculated on the basis of quantity/rate of approved DNIT and rate quoted by the agency. He also stated that the DNIT of the work always prepared on the basis of detailed estimate. He also stated that the contractor had accepted the rates/final measurement. He also stated that he discharged his functional duties with best of his prudence and there was no laxity, misconduct and he also denied the allegations in totality. He also stated that there is no cause and occasion to attribute an alleged loss of Rs.15,930/- upon him. Further, he stated that he has not violated any provisions of the PWD Manual of orders, Work Procedure of the Board and also not violated the provisions of rule-3(i), (ii) & (iii) of the Government Employees conduct Rules, 1966. He further stated that the matter against the J.E. and S.D.E concerned has already been decided by the competent authority with punishment of recovery of Rs.7,965/- from each of them vide order no. C&E-II-1655/2010/380/17342-47 dated 19.02.2010. He also stated that they filed the appeals before the BOD and the BOD has allowed the appeals of Sh. Deepak Verma, JE and Sh. S.K. Aggarwal, SDE vide order dated 02.05.2012 and 13.08.2012 respectively.

After hearing the arguments, the relevant record of the case was perused with the assistance of the concerned staff of the Board. The then Chief Administrator while disposed off the charge sheet issued against the concerned SDE and JE vide order dated 19.02.2010, observed that the XEN is not responsible for the lapses in question and therefore, ordered to recover the loss from JE and SDE equally. He observed as follow:-

"Heard on 22.01.2010, during the course of hearing Shri Deepak Verma Junior Engineer has stated that the work was completed on 28.10.1999. The instructions issued on 10.07.2001 and there were no instructions or condition at that time of work.

Sh. S.K. Aggarwal, S.D.O. has stated that the work was allotted to the contractor on 06.11.1998 and completed on 28.10.1999. On 10.07.2001 instructions were issued by the office to maintain the excess but the work

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL MARKETING BOARD,
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Shri S.K. Goel, XEN was charge sheeted under Rule-8 of the Haryana Civil Services (Punishment & Appeals) Rules, 1987 vide this office Memo No. C&E-II-1356/2007/54956 dated 02.08.2007 with following allegations:-

"That the administrative approval was accorded for Rs.8,34,100/- which was further revised for an amount of Rs. 10,48,500/- vide memo dated 02.07.1990 for the work construction of Boundary wall in New Grain Market at Ismailabad. After calling tender the work was allotted to Sh. Darshan Lal, Contractor on 16.12.1989 during his incumbency. The work was completed by the contractor in all respects on 30.11.1990. After completion of boundary wall some portion of boundary wall suddenly collapsed on 20.07.1994. The Superintending Engineer, HSAM Board, Karnal was asked to find out the reasons for collapse of boundary wall. The Superintending Engineer, HSAM board, Karnal pointed out that the work of boundary wall in NGM at Ismailabad was construction without preparation/adoption of proper design as per site conditions. The provision for discharge of surplus water from Mandi was not made/adopted before start of the work. He also failed to provide protective majors to safe guard the boundary wall which he provided after collapse of boundary wall with an estimated cost of Rs. 2,03,400/-. He also failed to supervised the work and maintain the quality as required.

In the way, he failed to perform his duties in planning, designing and supervising the work. Thus, he acted against the provisions of PWD Code chapter-1 (1.64) and provision of para No. IV of the work procedure of the Board. Hence, he is responsible for causing loss of Rs 2,03,400/- in way of reconstruction/restoration of collapsed portion of boundary wall in NGM at Ismailabad. The loss cause to the Board/Market Committee is liable to the made good from him on proportionate basis."

He submitted his reply vide letter dated 22.08.2007 and denied all the allegations levelled against him stating that R/C/Estimate was framed on the basis of the standard design formulated by the Head office for construction of boundary wall. He also submitted in his reply that the boundary walls are generally constructed on the basis of R/C/Estimates

prepared and approved as per standard design and immediately after the acquisition of land just to take possession from the owners to avoid any encroachments etc. He also submitted in his reply that the farmers cultivate their land exactly upto the edge of the B/wall without bothering about its safety. He also submitted in his reply that as per PWD Code Para 1.54 Superintending Engineers are responsible for the engineering character of every work which they approve, and in submitting any report, design estimate, or other document to the Chief Engineer will invariably state their own opinion and recommendations on the subject, in particular as to the suitability of the designs and the reasonableness of the rates. They should have also estimated, checked and compared with the drawings. He also submitted in his reply that the main reason for the collapse of the boundary wall was accumulation and seepage of water in the trenches dug by the telephone department. He also ~~submitted that~~ the damaged portion was on the side of Khosla Rice Sheller and boundary wall was collapsed due to pressure of husk stacked along the boundary wall. He has further stated in his reply that other delinquent in this case have already been exonerated after departmental enquires and the Chief Engineer had already recommended that Superintending Engineer/XEN should also not be held responsible. He has requested that he may be absolved from the allegations.

However, before final disposal of this charge sheet after the said reply, the delinquent officer was dismissed vide order dated 22.04.2008 in view of his conviction by the Hon'ble Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri in a case pertaining to FIR No. 120 dated 24.04.2001 which was registered against him at Police Station, Jagadhri. Therefore this charge sheet was remained pending due to said dismissal. Sh. S.K. Goel had filed an appeal before Hon'ble High Court against the conviction order dated 18.09.2002. The said appeal was allowed by the Hon'ble Court vide its judgment dated 17.12.2012 and the conviction order dated 17.09.2002 was set aside. Therefore, the competent authority ordered to give an opportunity of personal hearing to the delinquent before the final disposal of this charge sheet. In the meantime, the delinquent Sh. S.K. Goel had already attained the age of superannuation in 2009.

The delinquent officer appeared in this office and reiterated the same version as he stated in his reply. He also stated that he is already retired from the services and he is now 66 years old. He submitted that

the Board has not affected any recovery from the concerned SDE/JE and therefore, he may also be exonerated.

After hearing the arguments, the relevant record of the case was perused with the assistance of the concerned staff of the Board. As per record, the SDE and JE concerned were charge sheeted under Rule-7 and the regular departmental enquiry was conducted by Superintending Engineer of the Board. He had observed in his report dated 16.03.2004 that the Executive Engineer and Superintending Engineer are fully responsible for any change in the design and as per PWD Code and Manual of Order. He also examined the loss caused to the Board and held that the expenditure incurred on restoration of collapsed of boundary wall is Rs. 55,300/- and not Rs. 2.03 lacs. The Inquiry Officer agreeing with the reports dated 13.09.1994 and 23.12.1999 given by the then Executive Engineer, Pipli and the then Superintending Engineer, Panchkula respectively, accepted that the reasons behind collapse of boundary wall were the laying of the telephone line in front of the boundary wall portion and subsequently not feeling the trenches properly by the P&F Department and the staging of paddy husk in rainy season in the rice mill and its subsequent pressure of the walls. The collapse of boundary wall was occurred after a gap of approximate of 4 years. This charge sheet against the delinquent officer was issued on the basis of said report while the concerned SDE and JE were already charge sheeted under rule-7. The charge sheet against JE has been dropped by the competent authority vide order dated 22.06.2007 and the charge sheet of SDE has also been decided by the competent authority vide order dated 11.01.2005 with a punishment of recovery of 25% of the loss i.e. Rs.14,075/-. He filed an appeal before the Government against the said order of recovery and the Govt. allowed his appeal and set aside the order dated 11.01.2005.

In view of above said facts and circumstances and the fact that the delinquent officer has already retired from the services, I hold him responsible to the extent of 25% of the total loss i.e. Rs.14,075/-.

Be communicated.

Place: Panchkula

Dated: 02.11.2017


(MANDIP SINGH BRAR)
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. C&E-II-1356/2017/1532/78227-33 Dated:- 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. Sh. S.K. Goel, S.E. (Retd.), # 2247, Sector-7, U/E, Karnal.
2. The CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

[Signature]
Supdt. (C&E),
for Chief Administrator.

[Signature]

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.
ORDER

Shri S.K. Goel, the then Executive Engineer (now S.E. Retd.) was issued charge sheet under Rule 8 of the Haryana Civil Services (Punishment & Appeals) Rules, 1987 vide this office Memo No. C&E-II-2008/1547/14975 dated 03.03.2008 with following allegations:-

"That the administrative approval for the work of Construction of road from Balu to Jalala Viran village was accorded by the competent authority for Rs.11,82,300/- vide Memo dated 13.11.92. Later-on, after completion of the road, it came into notice that the some portion of the road was constructed on private land and the owner Shri Jagdish Singh filed a civil suit in the Court against Market Committee, Nissing for vacation of his land. The case was decided against him at the first stage i.e. in the Lower Court and aggrieved with the decision of the Lower Court, he filed an appeal in the District Court and the case was decided in his favour with cost. The Market Committee/Board filed appeal in the Hon'ble High Court against the decision of the District Court which was decided against the department and due to which the compensation/cost of encroached land measuring 1 kanal 2 marla was paid to the owner amounting to Rs.82,500/-.

That while Shri Balbir Singh, SDO tendered his evidence in the Court, it came to the notice of the owner that the road was constructed without having any demarcation from the Revenue Department. The SMC Nissing intimated vide his office Memo No. 2971 dated 08.06.2007 that compensation amounting to Rs.82,500/- has been paid to the land owner on 12.05.2007 and the Market Committee also paid litigation charges amounting to Rs.7,404/- to the Advocates which comes to total Rs.89,904/- which is a clear cut loss to the Board/Market Committee. The said work remained in progress during the period of 25.01.93 to 31.12.1993 under the close supervision of S/Sh S.K. Goel, the then Executive Engineer, Chetan Parkash the then SDO and Rajesh Chopra, JE (on deputation from PWD B&R). Thus, they are responsible for causing the alleged loss of Rs.89,904/- to the Market Committee/Board.

That he failed to watch the financial interest of the Board and allowed the execution of work without having any demarcation from the Revenue authorities. He got constructed the road on the private land measuring 1 Kanal, 2 Marla and thus, encroached the land. ~~Before starting the work at site, the demarcation of site is mandatory from the revenue authorities in order to establish the ownership of land.~~ Due to his unprofessional and irresponsible attitude, the Market Committee/Board paid a compensation of Rs.82,500/- despite litigation charges of Rs.7404/- which is a clear cut loss for which he is exclusively responsible.

In this way, he failed to exercise proper financial, technical and administrative control over the execution of work and acted in unprofessional manner leading to a pecuniary loss which is a serious lapse on his part. That he violated the provision laid in the PWD Manual of orders, work Procedure of the Board and thus, violated the provisions laid down in the Rule 3(i), (ii) & (iii) of the Government Employees (Conduct) Rules, 1966. Thus, he failed to perform his official duties honestly, sincerely and diligently."

He was asked to submit the reply within 15 days from the receipt of memorandum. The delinquent officer submitted his reply to the charge sheet vide letter dated 09.04.2008 and denied all the allegations made against him stating that the present allegation has been served upon him in March, 2008 i.e. after 15 years of the alleged incident. He further stated that while framing allegations against him, the scope of his functional duties in terms of codal rules as applicable to the XEN and others have not been taken into consideration. He has also stated that as per duties

prescribed for the post of S.D.E. under para 5.5(a) of PWD B&R Manual of Orders, the S.D.E in case of original work should invariably check all Nishans himself and see that they have been correctly given in accordance with sanctioned plans. He also stated in his reply that the above Codal provisions make it clear that it remains the primary duty on the part of the J.E and S.D.E to take possession of the land from the Revenue authorities. He further added that the J.E./S.D.E have not enclosed any evidence with their reply to show that the said road was got constructed after proper demarcation from the Revenue authority. He also stated in his reply that as per duties defined under rule 5.4 for the post of XEN, he could not be held responsible to take the Nishan before start of the work because he has to manage many official works along-with field duty.

However, before final disposal of this charge sheet after the said reply, the delinquent officer was dismissed vide order dated 22.04.2008 in view of his conviction by the Hon'ble Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri in a case pertaining to FIR No. 120 dated 24.04.2001 which was registered against him at Police Station, Jagadhri. Therefore this charge sheet was remained pending due to said dismissal. Sh. S.K. Goel had filed an appeal before Hon'ble High Court against the conviction order dated 18.09.2002. The said appeal was allowed by the Hon'ble Court vide its judgment dated 17.12.2012 and the conviction order dated 17.09.2002 was set aside. Therefore, the competent authority ordered to give an opportunity of personal hearing to the delinquent before the final disposal of this charge sheet. In the meantime, the delinquent Sh. S.K. Goel had already attained the age of superannuation in 2009.

The delinquent officer appeared in this office and reiterated the same version as he stated in his reply. He further stated that the matter against the J.E. and S.D.E concerned has already been decided by the competent authority with punishment of recovery of Rs.7,404/- from them besides "Censure" vide order endst. No. C&E-II-1547/2009/2927/92052-58 dated 21.08.2009.

After hearing the arguments, the relevant record of the case was perused with the assistance of the concerned staff of the Board. At the time of disposal of the charge sheets of the concerned SDE/JE, the case was examined by the office and it was found that it would not be appropriate to recover the cost of land from the delinquents as they can claim ownership of the encroached land after such payment. Therefore, the then Chief Administrator while disposed of the charge sheet issued against the concerned SDE and JE vide order dated 21.08.2009, ordered to recover the financial loss from the SDE and JE concerned equally. The delinquent officer was also responsible for the said lapses and is liable to be punished. However as the loss has already been recovered from SDE and JE concerned and the delinquent has already retired, the charge sheet is hereby dropped.

Be communicated.

Place: Panchkula
Dated: 02.11.2017


(MANDIP SINGH BRAR)
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. C&E-II-1547/2017/1533/78234-40

Dated:- 14/12/19

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. Sh. S.K. Goel, S.E. (Retd.), # 2247, Sector-7, U/E, Karnal.
2. The CFA, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer HSAM Board concerned.
4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. Admn/A-3, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
6. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator and Secretary.

HR
Supdt. (C&E),
for Chief Administrator.

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA.

ORDER

The deployment order of Shri Bhopal Singh, Auction Recorder from Market Committee, Bilaspur to Market Committee, Jagadhari for Timber Market at Manakpur issued vide order endst. No. Admn.V-2017/642/34591-614 dated 23.05.2017 is hereby cancelled and Shri Dharambir Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Mustafabad is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Jagadhari.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/1531/78211-225 Dated: 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. The above named officials.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Zonal Administrator/ZMEO/DMEO HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees, concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

Supdt. (Admn.)
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Sh. Vinay Kakkar, Sub Divisional Engineer (Elect.), HSAMBoard, Rohtak is hereby deployed from the office of Superintending Engineer (QC), HSAMBoard, Rohtak to the O/o Superintending Engineer, HSAMBaoard, Hisar in Quality Control Wing.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/1529/2818-193 Dated: 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Vinay Kakkar, Sub Divisional Engineer (Elect.), HSAM Board, Rohtak.
2. The E.I.C., HSAMBoard, Panchkla.
3. The C.E.-I & II, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
4. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard concerned.
6. The Executive Engineer, HSAM Board concerned.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
8. The P.S to Chairperson, C.A and PA to Secretary Board.


**Supdt.(Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

The deployment orders of Sh. Ramesh Chander, Circle Head Draftsman, HSAMBoard, Hisar to Karnal Circle issued vide endst No. Admn-III-2017/344/19495-506 dated 24.03.2017 is hereby cancelled, relieving Sh. Hoshiyar Singh, CHD of the additional charge of the O/o Circle Office, Hisar.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/1528/78166-180.

Dated: 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officers.
1. The E.I.C., HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
2. The C.E.-I & II, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard concerned.
5. The Executive Engineer, HSAM Board concerned.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The P.S to Chairperson, C.A and PA to Secretary Board.


**Supdt. (Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA.

ORDER

Shri Balwan Singh, Mandi Supervisor, O/o DMEO, HSAM Board,
Kurukshetra is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Pipli.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/1526/77967-80, Dated: 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary
action: -

1. Shri Balwan Singh, Mandi Supervisor, O/o DMEO, HSAM Board,
Kurukshetra.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Zonal Administrator/ZMEO/DMEO HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.

Supdt. (Admn.)
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Sh. Rishi Kumar, Assistant Secretary, Market Committee, Hasanpur is hereby deployed in the O/o the Zonal Administrator, HSAM Board, Gurugram.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR.**

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2017/1530/78194-210 Dated: 14.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rishi Kumar, Assistant Secretary, Market Committee, Hasanpur.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CMEO/MDO/ HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
5. The Chairman/Administrator, Market Committee, concerned
6. The PS to Chairperson /CA/ PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


**Supdt. (Admn),
for Chief Administrator.**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA.

ORDER

The deployment order of Shri Bhopal Singh, Auction Recorder from Market Committee, Bilaspur to Market Committee, Jagadhari for Timber Market at Manakpur issued vide order endst. No. Admn.V-2017/642/34591-614 dated 23.05.2017 is hereby cancelled and Shri Dharambir Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Mustafabad is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Jagadhari.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/ ¹⁵³¹ ~~78211-225~~
Dated: 14.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. The above named officials.
2. The CMEO/CFA/MDO, HSAMBoard, Panchkula.
3. The Zonal Administrator/ZMEO/DMEO HSAM Board, concerned.
4. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committees, concerned.
5. The PS to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.
6. The Executive Engineer(IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.


Supd. (Admn.)
For Chief Administrator

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

In continuation of Endst. No. Admn.-IV-2017/69899-905 dated 10.11.2017, Sh. Ved Ram, Clerk (Retd.) has now been granted 1st ACP scale of Rs.5200-20200+2400GP w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and 2nd ACP Scale of Rs.5200-20200+3200GP w.e.f. 01.08.2012 after completion of 10 and 20 years service as Clerk respectively as per Rule 7(4) of HCS (ACP) Rules, 2008. The pay of the official is re-fixed as under:-

Date	Pay already drawn in the pay scale of Rs.3050-4950.	Now pay is to be fixed in the pay scale of Rs. 5200-20200+2400/3200GP	Remarks
01.07.2001	3575+40SP	-	-
01.07.2002	3650+40SP	-	-
01.07.2003	3725+40SP	-	-
01.07.2004	3800+40SP	-	-
01.07.2005	3875	-	-
01.01.2006	-	Rs.7210+1900GP	-
01.01.2006	-	Rs.7490+2400GP	Grant of 1 st ACP scale of Rs.5200-20200+2400GP w.e.f. 01.01.2006
01.07.2006	-	Rs.7790+2400GP	Annual increment
01.07.2007	-	Rs.8100+2400GP	Annual increment
01.07.2008	-	Rs.8420+2400GP	-
01.07.2009	-	Rs.8750+2400GP	-
01.07.2010	-	Rs.9090+2400GP	-
01.07.2011	-	Rs.9440+2400GP	-
01.07.2012	-	Rs.9800+2400GP	-
01.08.2012	-	Rs.10170+3200GP	Grant of 2 nd ACP scale of Rs.5200-20200+3200GP w.e.f. 01.08.2012.
01.07.2013	-	Rs.10580+3200GP	Annual increment
28.02.2014	-	-	Retired

The above pay has been fixed in concurrence with the Accounts Branch.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

DA: Service Book.

Endst. No. Admn.-IV-2017/ 1550/78893-95

Dated: 18/12/17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Ved Ram, Clerk (Retd.), Kasba Mohalla, Ward No.24, H.No.313, Palwal, Distt. Palwal (Haryana).
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The XEN, HSAM Board, Faridabad subject to condition while making payment of pay fixation necessary undertaking w.r.t. recovery of excess payment may be taken from the retiree. His service book is enclosed, after making necessary entries, same may be returned to this office.


**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD,
PANCHKULA
ORDER**

The Secretary, HSAM Board, Panchkula is hereby nominated as Chief Vigilance Officer (CVO) and the Superintending Engineer (QC), HSAM Board, Panchkula as Vigilance Officer (VO) to look after the work of Internal Vigilance and to liaise with the State Vigilance Bureau.

The required details of CVO are as under:-

Name of the Officer (CVO) : Smt. Varsha Khangwal, HCS
Designation : Secretary
Tel. No. Office & Residence : office (0172-2560450)
Mobile No. : 7056822222
Official Address : HSAM Board, C-6, Sec-6, Panchkula.
Residential Address : H.No.622, Sec-6, Panchkula.
E-Mail ID : sect.hsamb@hry.nic.in

The required details of VO are as under:-

Name of Officer (VC) : Sh. Uday Bhan
Designation : Superintending Engineer (QC)
Tel. No. Office & Residence : office (0172-2583460)
Mobile No. : 9466155257
Official Address : HSAM Board, C-6, Sec-6, Panchkula.
Residential Address : H No.9, Mandi Board Colony, Sec-14,
Panchkula.
E-Mail ID : sevqc2017@gmail.com


**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No.GA-I-2017/ 1540/78556-57

Dated:- 18.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action please:-

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government Haryana, Vigilance Department, Chandigarh w.r.t. Memo No.4/4/2017-IV1 dated 27.11.2017.
2. The Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Chandigarh w.r.t. Memo No.3287-Agri.II(4)-2017 18935 dated 04.12.2017.



**Superintendent (Gen.),
for Chief Administrator.**

Endst. No.GA-I-2017/ 1540/78558-590

Dated:- 18.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officers.
2. The EIC/CE-I& II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. All the SEs/XENs, HSAM Board, in the state.
4. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
5. PS to Chairperson, Chief Administrator & Secretary Board.


**Superintendent (Gen.),
for Chief Administrator.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

Sh. Nihal Singh, Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Rania shall stand retired from the service of the Board/MC w.e.f 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.

These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter and the result of the enquiries pending against him, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2017/ 1555/ 79027-40

Dated: 19.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:

1. Sh. Nihal Singh, Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Rania.
2. The Secretary-cum-EO, Market Committee, Rania. He is asked to submit the no dues certificate of the official and also to get provide/sent the pension paper immediately.
3. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAMB, concerned.
4. The CFA /CMEO/MDO, HSAMB, Panchkula.
5. The Supdt. (C& E) Branch, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board. Panchkula.
7. The PS/PA to Chairperson/Chief Administrator/Secretary Board.


**Supdt.(Admn)
for Secretary**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING
BOARD PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

Sh. Dilbar, Clerk attached with A.O.(Outsourcing) is hereby transferred and posted as such in General Branch.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.IV-2017/1557/79070-78, Dated: - 19.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. The above named official.
2. The CFA, HSAMB, Panchkula.
3. The Accounts Officer/A.O.(Outsourcing), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The XEN (IT) HSAMB, Panchkula.
5. The PA to Chairperson/CA and PA to Secretary Board.


Supdt. Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

The following Secretary-cum-EO's/Assistant Secretary,
Market Committees are hereby deployed as under:-

Sr. No.	Name S/Sh.	From	To	Remarks
1	Jaiwanti, SMC	MC, Dabwali	MC, Ellanabad	-
2	Yash Pal Mehta, SMC	MC, Ellanabad	O/o DMEO, Sirsa	-
3	Jitender, ASMC	MC, Ganaur	MC, Adampur	As In-Charge
4	Heera Lal, SMC	MC, Adampur	MC, Dharsul	-

These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR.**

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2017/1560/79219-240 Dated: 20.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. All the above named Officers/Officials.
2. The Chairman/Administrators, Market Committees concerned.
3. The CFA/CMEO/MDO, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board concerned.
5. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula
6. The PS to Chairperson/CA & PA to Secretary.

**Supdt.(Admn.)
for Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Consequent upon the revision of pay scale employees, HSAM Board, w.e.f. 01.01.2016 issued vide this office memo No.GA-I-2017/61122-305 dated 09.10.2017 (Circular No.125), the pay of Sh. Vishashwer Dutt, Peon (Retd.), HSAM Board, Panchkula is fixed as under:-

Date	Pay Fixed	Remarks
01.01.2016	Rs.32,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-01
01.07.2016	Rs.33,100/-	ACPL-06, Cell-02
	Retired on 30.09.2016	

The above pay fixation has been made with the concurrence of Accounts Branch.

Condition:-


1. Any excess payment found if incorrect fixation of pay discrepancies noticed later on will be subject to the adjustment in future.
2. Keeping in view the current financial position of the Board and its deficit, 50% of the arrears on account of revision of pay scales may be paid at this stage. The balance 50% arrears should be paid when the Board earns excess of income over expenditure. The Board should take effective measures to increase its revenue through stricter enforcement and plugging of loopholes to prevent leakage.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn.-VII/2017/1559/7977-79 Dated: 20.12.17

A copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action.

1. Sh. Vishashwer Dutt, Peon (Retd.), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD PANCHKULA

ORDER

Sh. Fakir Chand, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee,
Kaithal is hereby deployed in Market Committee, Israna.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR.

Endst.No.Admn-VI-2017/ 1563/ 79705-718. Dated: 21/12/17

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information
and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Fakir Chand, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Kaithal.
2. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CMEO/MDO/ HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Z.A./ZMEO/DMEO, H.S.A.M. Board, concerned.
5. The Chairman/Secretary-cum-EO, Market Committee, concerned
6. The PS to Chairperson /CA/ PA to Secretary, H.S.A.M. Board,
Panchkula.
- ✓ 7. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.


Supdt. (Admn),
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL. MARKETING
BOARD PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

Smt. Asha Rani, Assistant, HSAM Board, Panchkula shall stand retired from the service of the Board on 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.

These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board which may arise hereafter and the result of the enquiries against her, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn.-IV-2017/

1572/7821-22

Dated:-

22/12/17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Smt. Asha Rani, Assistant, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
2. The Chief Engineer I & II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, AO-I, HSAM Board Panchkula.
4. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board Panchkula.
5. The PA to Chairperson, CA and PA to Secretary Board.

**Supdt. (Admn.),
for Secretary.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Sh. Bhoop Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer (Elect.), HSAMBoard, Faridabad shall stand retired from the service of the Board on 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.

These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board which may arise as a result of the departmental enquiries/charge sheets pending against him, or which may be issued hereafter.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst No. Admn.-III-2017/ 1566/79804-830 Dated: 22.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Bhoop Singh, Sub Divisional Engineer (Elect.), HSAMBoard, Faridabad.
2. The Chief Engineer-I & II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
4. The Superintending Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
5. The Executive Engineers, HSAM Board in the State.
6. All the Branch Officer/Incharge, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The XEN (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
8. P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

**Supdt. (Admn.),
for Secretary.**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA**

ORDER

Consequent upon the reinstatement of Sh. Rajender Kumar S/o Sh.Phool Singh, Junior Engineer, he is posted as such at Bhiwani Division.


These orders shall take immediate effect.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/1584/80237-48 Dated: 28.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rajender Kumar, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rohtak.
2. The C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard concerned.
4. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rohtak/Bhiwani.
5. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.


Supdt. (Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD, PANCHKULA.**

ORDER

Sanction is hereby accorded to release the difference of leave encashment of 300 days utilized earned leave in respect of Shri Hardam Singh, MS (Retired), O/o the Market Committee, Ladwa.

**MANDIP SINGH BRAR,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR**

Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/

1582/80217-220

Dated: - 28.12.17

Regd.

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action: -

1. Shri Hardam Singh, MS (Retired), H.No. 4920, Ward No.5, Model Town, Zhansa Road, Gali No.2, Kurukshetra.
2. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Ladwa.
3. The C.F.A., HSAMB, Panchkula.
4. The EE(IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.


**Supdt. (Admn.),
For Chief Administrator**

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

The following Auction Recorded shall stand retired from the services of the Board/Market Committee w.e.f 31.12.2017 on attaining the superannuation age of 58 years.

Sr. No.	Name & Designation S/Sh.	Place of Posting (Market Committee)	Date of Birth	Date of retirement
1	Jagdish Chander S/o Risal Singh	Pillukhera	01.01.1960	31.12.2017
2	Gurnam Singh S/o Sonu Ram	Pehowa	01.01.1960	31.12.2017

These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter as a result of the enquiries/disciplinary proceeding pending against them, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn-V-2017/ 1577/ 8079-88

Dated: 28/12/17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officials.
2. The CFA, HSAMB, Panchkula
3. The Secretary-cum-E.Os., Market Committees, concerned. They are asked to submit the no dues certificate of the officials and also to get provide/sent the pension papers immediately.
4. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAMB, concerned.
5. The Supdt. (C&E) Branch, HSAMB, Panchkula.
6. The XEN (IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.

**Supdt.(Admn.)
for Secretary**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRIL.MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA

ORDER

Consequent upon the reinstatement of Sh. Rajender Kumar S/o Sh.Phool Singh, Junior Engineer, he is posted as such at Bhiwani Division.

These orders shall take immediate effect.

MANDIP SINGH BRAR
CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR

Endst. No. Admn.-III-2017/1584/80237-48 Dated: 28.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Sh. Rajender Kumar, Junior Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rohtak.
2. The C.E.-I&II, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
3. The Superintending Engineer, HSAMBoard concerned.
4. The Executive Engineer, HSAMBoard, Rohtak/Bhiwani.
5. The CFA, HSAM Board, Panchkula.
6. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAM Board, Panchkula.
7. The P.S to Chairperson/C.A/ PA to Secretary Board.

Supdt.(Admn.),
for Chief Administrator.,

**OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD
PANCHKULA**

ORDER

The following Auction Recorded shall stand retired from the services of the Board/Market Committee w.e.f 31.12.2017 on attaining the supernnuation age of 58 years.

Sr. No.	Name & Designation S/Sh.	Place of Posting (Market Committee)	Date of Birth	Date of retirement
1	Jagdish Chander S/o Risal Singh	Pillukhera	01.01.1960	31.12.2017
2	Gurnam Singh S/o Sonu Ram	Pehowa	01.01.1960	31.12.2017

These orders are without prejudice to the claims of the Board, which may arise hereafter as a result of the enquiries/disciplinary proceeding pending against them, if any.

**VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY**

Endst. No. Admn-V-2017/ 1577/ 8079-88

Dated: 28/12/17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. Both the above named officials.
2. The CFA, HSAMB, Panchkula
3. The Secretary-cum-E.Os., Market Committees, concerned. They are asked to submit the no dues certificate of the officials and also to get provide/sent the pension papers immediately.
4. The ZA/ZMEO/DMEO, HSAMB, concerned.
5. The Supdt. (C&E) Branch, HSAMB, Panchkula.
6. The XEN (IT), HSAMB, Panchkula.

**Supdt. (Admn.)
for Secretary**

OFFICE OF THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD, PANCHKULA.

ORDER

Consequent upon the sanction of ACP under Rule 7.4 of the ACP Rule in the pay scale of Rs.9300-34800+3300GP w.e.f. 01.05.2008, vide order endst. No. Admn.II-2017/1274/69609-11 dated 09.11.2017, the pay of Shri Jasmer Singh, Mandi Supervisor is fixed as under: -

Date	Pay already drawn	Pay is to be fixed	Remarks
01.07.2007	12450+3200GP		
01.05.2008		12450+3300GP	ACP under rule 7.4 of the ACP Rule
01.07.2008		13410+3300GP	Two increments i.e. one for ACP & one for normal
01.07.2009		13920+3300GP	Annual increment
01.07.2010		14440+3300GP	Annual increment
01.07.2011		14980+3300GP	Annual increment
01.07.2012		15530+3300GP	Annual increment
01.07.2013		16100+3600GP	Annual increment
04.03.2014		16100+3600GP	Only GP replaced
01.07.2014		16690+3600GP	Annual increment
01.07.2015		17300+3600GP	Annual increment
01.07.2016		17930+3600GP	Annual increment
01.07.2017		18580+3600GP	Annual increment


The above pay is fixed in concurrence with Accounts branch.

VARSHA KHANGWAL
SECRETARY

Endst. No. Admn.II-2017/1596/80400-403 Dated: 29.12.17

A copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action: -

1. Shri Jasmer Singh, Mandi Supervisor, Market Committee, Ambala Cantt.
2. The CFA, H.S.A.M. Board, Panchkula.
3. The Secretary-cum-E.O., Market Committee, Ambala Cantt. The service book in original is also enclosed.
- ✓ 4. The Executive Engineer (IT), HSAMBoard, Panchkula.


Supdt. (Admn.),
For Secretary